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## Purpose of Report

The State Personnel Board (SPB) Rules ${ }^{1}$ require the SPB to adopt and submit a compensation report to the Governor and the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) prior to the end of each calendar year. By Rule, the compensation report is to include a summary of the status of the classified pay system and the results of the State of New Mexico's (State's) annual compensation survey that includes total compensation. This document shall serve as the official compensation report.

This report conveys economic pay trends, findings, and data derived from the compensation and benefits surveys compiled by the National Compensation Association of State Governments and analyzed by the State Personnel Office (SPO). This data is analyzed in order to illustrate the salary ranges, rates, average salaries, and benefits for state classifications in the eight state comparator labor markets--Utah, Texas, Arizona, Kansas, Nevada, Colorado, Wyoming and Oklahoma (Comparator market). The report also summarizes key findings and comparative data showing the relationship of the State's wages and compensation programs to those of the Comparator labor markets. Additionally, it presents data on State employee demographics, the use of available pay mechanisms, and industry-accepted workforce metrics for the enhancement of the classified service pay system.

## Personnel Act \& Compensation Philosophy

## Personnel Act

The Personnel Act requires New Mexico to establish and maintain a system of personnel administration for classified employees based solely on employee qualifications and abilities to provide greater economy and efficiency in the management of State affairs. ${ }^{2}$

## Compensation Philosophy

In 2001, the SPB established a policy regarding the State's approach to compensation:
"The Compensation System (salary and benefits) for classified state government employees will be structured to support the mission of State Government and be consistent with state statutes to provide a high level of responsive service in meeting the needs of its citizens. The foundation of this structure is to reward employees for their specific contributions to the achievement of organizational goals and objectives. Fiscal responsibility requires that this approach be administered in a consistent manner throughout the state's classified service based on its financial capabilities."

[^0]
## Executive Summary

The classified service workforce consists of over 17,000 employees within 64 executive State agencies, boards and commissions. The State recognizes that its employees are its most valuable asset and that these employees are critical to providing services to all New Mexicans. Employment with New Mexico State government represents a career in public service, and an opportunity to deliver excellence, accountability, and efficiency throughout New Mexico.

Both private and public employers seek to attract and retain qualified and dedicated employees to transform strategy into success. Accordingly, it is critical to have a sound compensation program that is externally competitive. The State continues to experience pressure as private and other public sector organizations compete for the same workers that the State is trying to attract and retain. Toward that end, SPO has developed six pay lines to address market pricing issues -- IT, Engineering, Architecture, Attorneys, Social Services, and Corrections - all of which have been approved by the SPB and are currently in use by agencies. In addition, one new pay line--Health Care--has been approved by the SPB, while two others - Health Care Support and Human Resources - are being developed. Implementation of the Health Care Study has been postponed indefinitely due to certain agencies' budgetary constraints brought about as a result of the public health emergency resulting from the COVID19 pandemic.

On March 11, 2020, Governor Michelle Lujan Grisham issued Executive Order 2020-004, Order Declaring a State of Public Health Emergency and Invoking the Powers Provided by the All Hazard Emergency Management Act and the Emergency Licensing Act, declaring a public health emergency due to the spread of COVID-19 in New Mexico. The COVID-19 pandemic presents an unprecedented challenge to New Mexico and the repercussions will impact many facets of State government and present new challenges in multiple areas, including, but not limited to, hiring, retention, and employee morale.

The State Personnel Office strives to address these challenges with thoughtful flexibility.

## Classified Employee Pay Compared to Market

The State strives to pay a competitive public sector salary, while remaining fiscally responsible, in order to compete with both private and public employers in the national market as well as the New Mexico regional market. Currently, the State's annual classified employee average base salary is $\$ 50,502$. New Mexico ranks sixth in the Comparator market for public sector employee total compensation (salary plus benefits). This ranking indicates that New Mexico is behind the average within the national market.

In 2001, the State's General Salary Structure pay band width was expanded to $78 \%$ to better enable employees to be promoted in pay, as their skills increased, while moving laterally within the pay band, rather than having to be promoted on a vertically designed pay structure. In FY14, SPO narrowed the pay band width to 74\%, in an effort to bring the State classified service pay band width closer to the industry standard of $50 \%$. The Administration at that time, working with the Legislature, budgeted vacancies at midpoint rather than at the minimum of the pay band, which practice continues. In a continuing effort to align the State's compensation practices with industry standards, the more recently developed pay lines described above have narrower pay band widths of 67\% (IT), 60\% (Engineering, Architecture, Attorneys, Social Services), and 40\% (Corrections).

The salary structures are the foundation upon which State employees can be appropriately compensated. The next important step is for agency budgets to be funded in support of appropriate compensation.

## Use of Alternative Pay Bands

Currently, over $25 \%$ of the State's job classifications within the General Salary Structure are assigned to Alternative Pay Bands (APBs). The number of APBs is an indicator that the State's classified service General Salary Structure is behind the Comparator market for many job classifications and continues to require updating.

APBs were originally designed to be used on an exception basis only, to address compensation issues related to recruitment and retention that could not normally be handled within the General Salary Structure. Jobs are evaluated and assigned to pay bands to appropriately capture and maintain internal equity to other similar sized jobs within the classified service. When external market demand exceeds supply in the labor market, pressure is placed on the State's compensation structure. This market pressure impacts the State's ability to attract and retain well-qualified applicants with market competitive salaries, resulting in the SPB "temporarily" assigning job classifications to higher pay bands. These APBs are reviewed annually, and SPO's Compensation and Classification Division presents recommendations to the SPB to renew or discontinue the temporary APB assignments.

With the implementation of recent occupation-based classification and salary schedules, the State has been able to greatly reduce the number of APBs by creating salary structures that are more responsive to movement in their respective markets. Each occupational group removed significant numbers of classifications that previously required APBs.

## Total Compensation Components

Total compensation for State employees consists not only of the value and cost of the direct salary received, but also includes the value and cost (to both employees and the State) of employee benefits. Total compensation includes employee benefits of health, dental, life, disability, pharmacy, and vision insurance; retirement; deferred compensation; paid leave (annual, sick, personal day and holiday); compensatory time off; and all other types of leave. Compared to the private sector, the State contributes significantly more to its employees in both medical and retirement benefits.

Health insurance makes up a significant portion of indirect benefits and is a recruiting and retention incentive for the State. Accordingly, the State should continue its efforts to review and manage its healthcare plan design to improve the overall health and well-being of employees and to recruit and retain its employees.

The Public Employee Retirement Association (PERA) offers a defined benefit retirement program for State employees. ${ }^{3}$ Currently, the PERA retirement calculation considers both years of service and average highest earnings. The retirement program offered by New Mexico's PERA is considered one of the best in the country.

It is important that employees be provided with a complete picture of the total value of their compensation package with the State of New Mexico, including both direct and indirect compensation. SPO worked with PERA, the Risk Management Division,

[^1]the General Services Department and the Department of Information Technology (DolT) to develop within SHARE/PeopleSoft, a total compensation statement to be provided annually to each employee. This way, employees can see the total compensation package they realize and enjoy rather than only their hourly wage. SPO continues to work with DolT to offer this tool within the recruitment module of SHARE/PeopleSoft.

## Compa-Ratios

Compa-ratio ${ }^{4}$ is a position within a pay band relative to the midpoint of the pay band. For example, $100 \%$ Compa-ratio is the midpoint of a pay band. Compa-ratio is an industry standard measurement of a compensation plan.

When evaluating individual agencies:

- The average Compa-ratio throughout the State ranges from $95 \%$ to $120 \%$;
- 7 executive agencies have an average Compa-ratio of less than $100 \%$; and,
- 17 executive agencies have an average Compa-ratio of over $110 \%$.

In order to compete with the market, in many agencies the midpoint, or close to it, has become the entry level for new hires. The lack of pay adjustments (market or otherwise) over a long period of time for tenured employees has resulted in significant compaction for those employees who typically have more experience or qualifications than new hires.

## Classification System Changes

SPO has developed a market-focused Classification and Compensation System that captures different families of work within occupational pay lines. The intent of this System is for developed and implemented occupational pay lines to allow more targeted, well-planned pay increases to be delivered, easing market tensions and bringing New Mexico closer to the Comparator market.

[^2]
## Salary Surveys \& Data Sources

## Annual Salary Survey Purpose

Annually, SPO's Compensation and Classification Division conducts a salary survey to assess the State's labor market competitiveness. The survey compares the State's salary structure (pay bands) and current pay practices (actual pay) with those of the State's Comparator market. This survey also compares the State's employee benefits (insurance, leave, etc.) to those of the labor market. SPO's Compensation and Classification Division reviews and analyzes numerous, credible, salary and budget reports to collect salary data. (See Appendix A).

These salary and budget reports represent a:

- Large national sample of state employees;
- Variety of job occupations (clerical, administrative, trade, counseling, law enforcement, etc.); and,
- Range of levels in job complexity (measured in job content points).

Unless noted, data used in the compilation of this report is as of July 1, 2020.

## National Compensation Association of State Governments Salary Survey

SPO participates annually in a comprehensive salary survey of benchmark job classifications sponsored by the National Compensation Association of State Governments (NCASG). NCASG's primary objectives are to improve the validity of job matches between states, to improve the accuracy of data in salary surveys among the states, and to reduce the number of individual surveys exchanged among the states on an annual basis. (Table 1)

In 2020, 36 state governments participated in NCASG's annual survey, representing 970,652 public sector employees. SPO identified job matches for 179 of 189 benchmark classifications in the survey.

## Total Compensation

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics defines total compensation as "the complete reward/recognition package for employees, including all forms of money, benefits, perquisites, services and in-kind payments."

The State of New Mexico provides a competitive employee benefit package that includes: employer-paid medical insurance contributions; pension (retirement) contributions; and paid leave allowances for vacation days, sick days, personal days, paid holidays, fitness and wellness leave, and paid parental leave. Additionally, State employees may take advantage of a Section 457, Deferred Compensation Plan
Table 1

| Eight - State Comparator Market <br> Total Compensation Ranking |
| :--- | ---: |
| Wyoming $\$ 95,144$ <br> Utah No data <br> Colorado $\$ 84,937$ <br> Oklahoma $\$ 55,515$ <br> New Mexico $\$ 88,363$ <br> Arizona* $\$ 85,401$ <br> Texas No data <br> Kansas No data <br> Nevada No data |$.$|  |
| :--- | and make contributions to a tax-deferred savings program that can be used to supplement their retirement plan.

Employer-provided employee benefits remain an important part of the total compensation package in attracting and retaining workers. The chart here compares total compensation across the Comparator market. (*Arizona does not track Total Compensation; $10 \%$ change in base rate was calculated into the benefits package).

## Total Classified Compensation Calculation

Table 2 provides a breakdown of New Mexico's average total compensation components for classified employees. The 2020 average base salary is $\$ 50,502$. This amount is $57.2 \%$ of total compensation. The remaining employer sponsored indirect components of total compensation (mandated benefits, insurance, and paid time off) is valued at an average of $\$ 37,861$ or $42.8 \%$ of total compensation, resulting in an average total compensation amount of $\$ 88,363$.

Table 2

| Average Base Salary: |  | $\$ 50,502$ | $57.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Employer Sponsored Benefits: | $(6.2 \% / 1.45 \%$ of gross salary) |  |  |
| FICA/Medicare | $(17.24 \%$ of gross salary) | $\$ 3,863$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| PERA | (3 \% of gross salary) | $\$ 8,707$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| RHC | (120 hours per year) | $\$ 2,914$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Vacation | (96 hours per year) | $\$ 2,331$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Sick | (80 hours per year) | $\$ 1,515$ | $1.71 \%$ |
| Holiday | (less than $\$ 50,000)$ | $\$ 1,942$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Insurance | (8 hours per year) | $\$ 16,395$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| Personal Day |  | $\$ 194$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total Benefits | $\$ 37,861$ | $42.8 \%$ |  |
| Total Compensation (Salary + Benefits): |  | $\$ 88,363$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Employer Costs for Employee Compensation

A breakdown of total compensation components in New Mexico compared to national trends for civilian workers, private industry, and state and local government is shown in Table 3. These costs are derived from the National Compensation Survey conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and are published in the monthly Employer Costs for Employee Compensation (ECEC) report. Once average total compensation is derived, the various components can be calculated as a percentage of total compensation. This calculation allows for comparisons to be made between the State of New Mexico and national trends.

Table 3 demonstrates how New Mexico State employees' salaries and benefits compare nationally to other state and local governments and the private sector. In general, the balance between direct compensation (wages and salaries) and indirect compensation (benefits, paid time-off, and retirement) for the State is noticeably different than any of the other three groups. State of New Mexico wages and salaries only account for $56.7 \%$ of total compensation, as compared to approximately $62.2 \%$ for state and local governments nationally.

Although the State's wages and salaries are less than those nationally, the ratio of the State's indirect compensation (benefits) to its direct compensation (wages and salaries) is higher than other state and local governments by $4.7 \%$ of total compensation.

The survey indicates that the average amount of paid leave provided by the State is $1 \%$ greater than the national civilian worker average and that the percentage of insurance costs (medical, dental, vision, etc.) paid by the State is $10.3 \%$ greater than what civilian workers are provided. Nationally, in both public and private sectors, a trend is occurring to address escalating health insurance premiums by requiring employees to cover a greater percentage of their benefits through increased premium rates, higher co-pays and higher yearly deductibles. These measures pass a greater cost on to the employee and reduce the cost to the employer. These measures also provide an incentive to employees to better manage their health and wellness issues because the employee bears more of the cost for services.

Table 3

| Compensation Component | Civilian Workers | Private Industry | State \& Local Government | State of New Mexico |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages and salaries | 68.50\% | 70.00\% | 61.90\% | 57.20\% |
| Benefits | 31.50\% | 30.00\% | 38.10\% | 42.80\% |
| Paid leave | 7.40\% | 7.30\% | 7.50\% | 8.40\% |
| Supplemental pay | 3.00\% | 3.40\% | 1.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Insurance | 8.70\% | 8.00\% | 11.80\% | 20.30\% |
| Health | 8.30\% | 7.60\% | 11.50\% | 18.60\% |
| Retirement and savings | 5.20\% | 3.60\% | 12.20\% |  |
| Defined benefit | 3.20\% | 1.30\% | 11.40\% | 9.90\% |
| Defined contribution | 2.00\% | 2.30\% | 0.80\% | 0.00\% |
| Legally required | 7.20\% | 7.60\% | 5.50\% | 4.40\% |

The retirement and savings component in state and local government is nearly two and a half times the national average for civilian workers. Defined benefit programs were increased as a recruitment mechanism in the late 1960's. Defined benefit programs have been phased out in most private sector organizations and are also beginning to be used less in the public sector. The deferred earnings of defined benefits programs are critical to employees maintaining a comfortable living in later years, though an issue with employer-paid retirement plans is that this responsibility continues long after an employee has left the organization.

A solid retirement plan is a key factor in attracting employees to work for an organization, and it is an even larger factor in retaining employees. Due to the changes in workforce demographics, however, today's workers tend to move between different organizations more often and may be more attracted to portable retirement plans that move with them when they leave an organization.

## National Trends

Trends in compensation administration are often influenced by economic factors at the national level. Gathering and analyzing multivariate sources (national, regional and local) provides a framework against which the State's compensation program can be analyzed. This analysis then informs SPO's specific compensation recommendations.

For 2021, organizations across all industries are planning general salary increases of 2.4-3.6\% as reported by national compensation survey sources. (See Table 4).

The Social Security Administration annually determines whether to grant beneficiaries a Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) based on the inflation rate during the third quarter of the year compared to the last year a COLA was awarded. Since 2012, Social Security adjustments have averaged about 1\% per year, though in 2016 no increase was provided. For 2021, a 1.3\% COLA is planned.

National compensation survey sources indicate that most organizations plan
to provide general salary increases of $3.0 \%$ in 2021.

## Comparator States Adjustment Trends

According to the latest NCASG salary survey data, states projected a $2.58 \%$ salary increase for all occupations in FY20, which is down from an average salary increase of $2.84 \%$ in 2018-2019. The actual average salary decrease from year-to-year was $1.01 \%$. For FY21, reporting states are projecting salary increases of $0.94 \%$ and structure adjustments (pay bands) of 1.05\%.

## Economic Data

The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks a number of primary economic indicators relevant to compensation and the price of goods and services.

## Employment Cost Index (ECI)

The Employment Cost Index (ECI) is an indicator measured quarterly that tracks changes in compensation costs including wages, salaries, and the cost of employee benefits for employers. (Table 5)

## Consumer Price Index-All Urban Consumers (CPI—U)

The Consumer Price Index—All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) is tracked monthly and is a measure of the changing purchasing power of the dollar. The number reflects the average change in the prices paid by urban consumers for a fixed market basket of goods and services. This index is principally used as an indicator of inflation.

For the period ending September 2019, the last period for which data is
Table 5

| ECI \& CPI <br> Economic Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | ECI <br> (Civilian) | ECI (State \& Local <br> Govt.) | CPI-U |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $-1.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | $2.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | available, the CPI-U, which covers $89 \%$ of the population of the United States, was reported as $1.7 \%$. (See Graph 1). Supporting data may be found at www.bls.gov. Table 5 and Graph 1 show ECI wage-related data compared to CPI-U's inflation-related data.
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It is useful to compare these national wage data trends against New Mexico's salary increase history to identify patterns and develop recommendations where necessary. Graph 2 below compares the history of CPI-U rates (shaded area) and the national wage increases as reported by WorldatWork against the legislatively authorized salary increases in New Mexico.

The Graph demonstrates that New Mexico has not kept pace when compared to these two wage and economic data points. According to WorldatWork, in 2019 leading compensation industries reported organizations providing an average $3.2 \%$ salary increase. The national rate of inflation has outpaced salary growth in New Mexico for the same period. This means New Mexico wages have fallen behind national trends, resulting in New Mexico employees spending more year-over-year for the same basket of goods and services as measured by the CPI-U. Annual State benefit cost increases have compounded this problem.

Data show that as funding becomes available, the State will need to be prepared with multi-year strategies to address complex and varied salary structure and wage issues.
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## Regional Trends

Table 6 below illustrates the average classified employee salary for New Mexico and for the eight state Comparator market for the past 10 years. The change from year-to-year should be viewed as snapshots in time and as a macro-indicator, not how the Comparator states administered actual pay for individual employees. Each year the composition of filled jobs changes slightly depending on agency business needs, available budget, new hires, career progression and separations.
Table 6

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | $\$ 37,630$ | $\$ 36,695$ | $\$ 34,973$ | $\$ 35,422$ | $\$ 43,832$ | $\$ 44,116$ | $\$ 45,062$ | $\$ 45,981$ | $\$ 46,901$ | $\$ 47,988$ | $\$ 48,462$ |
| Colorado | $\$ 55,044$ | $\$ 51,072$ | $\$ 50,955$ | $\$ 52,270$ | $\$ 53,772$ | $\$ 54,300$ | $\$ 54,509$ | $\$ 54,858$ | $\$ 55,187$ | $\$ 62,956$ | $\$ 66,033$ |
| Kansas* | $\$ 38,100$ | $\$ 35,235$ | $\$ 37,855$ | $\$ 36,356$ | $\$ 37,336$ | $\$ 36,056$ | $\$ 37,133$ | $\$ 37,233$ | $\$ 37,345$ | $\$ 39,902$ |  |
| Nevada | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 46,446$ | $\$ 47,216$ | $\$ 64,792$ | $\$ 66,082$ | $\$ 69,084$ | $\$ 40,862$ | $\$ 42,088$ |  |


| New <br> Mexico | $\$ 41,986$ | $\$ 41,995$ | $\$ 41,912$ | $\$ 41,912$ | $\$ 43,576$ | $\$ 44,554$ | $\$ 44,803$ | $\$ 45,342$ | $\$ 45,906$ | $\$ 48,298$ | $\$ 50,502$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oklahoma | $\$ 35,200$ | $\$ 32,495$ | $\$ 35,540$ | $\$ 36,314$ | $\$ 37,700$ | $\$ 37,700$ | $\$ 42,940$ | $\$ 44,178$ | $\$ 45,061$ | $\$ 49,505$ | $\$ 44,716$ |
| Texas* | $\$ 39,232$ | $\$ 39,265$ | $\$ 40,223$ | $\$ 40,310$ | $\$ 40,398$ | $\$ 40,398$ | $\$ 43,255$ | $\$ 44,064$ | $\$ 44,901$ | $\$ 46,475$ |  |
| Utah* | $\$ 42,635$ | $\$ 39,312$ | $\$ 45,114$ | $\$ 45,749$ | $\$ 46,592$ | $\$ 47,656$ | $\$ 48,832$ | $\$ 49,764$ | $\$ 50,980$ | $\$ 50,481$ |  |
| Wyoming | $\$ 45,822$ | $\$ 44,764$ | $\$ 48,352$ | $\$ 47,922$ | $\$ 49,213$ | $\$ 52,050$ | $\$ 54,018$ | $\$ 55,500$ | $\$ 53,299$ | $\$ 54,766$ | $\$ 54,605$ |

*States did not respond to requests for this information

## New Mexico Trends

The US DOL BLS annually tracks the ECEC (Employer Costs for Employee Compensation), which includes measures of wages, salaries, and benefits across all nonfarm private and state and local government workers. This data provides another benchmark against which to compare New Mexico classified employee salaries. Nationally, as of June 2020, the ECEC reports the average salary for private industry is $\$ 54,080$ and the average salary for state and local government is $\$ 50,406$.

New Mexico's average classified employee salary as of July 2020 is $\$ 50,502$.

The New Mexico Department of Workforce Solutions (NM DWS) Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages for the first quarter of 2019 (published August 2019) reports private employment

| Table $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average Annual Wages (not including benefits) |  |
| USDOL ECEC Total Government | $\$ 59,072$ |
| USDOL ECEC Private Industry | $\$ 54,080$ |
| NM DWS Total Government (Fed, State, Local) | $\$ 50,406$ |
| NM Classified Employees | $\$ 50,502$ |
| NM DWS Private Industry | $\$ 47,040$ | wages in New Mexico averaging $\$ 47,040$. (See Table 7) Total Government wages in New Mexico (including Federal, State and Local) average \$50,406.

Please note that the full effects of the COVID-19 pandemic are not yet reflected in the New Mexico salary data.
New Mexico Legislatively Authorized Salary Increases
Graph 3 shows the legislatively appropriated salary increases for each of the past 17 fiscal years. The salary increase amounts include general salary increases, as well as any targeted increases or supplemental increases for employees in specific occupation-based classifications for the years that they were provided. Specific information for each year can be found in Appendix $B$.
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## Base Pay Analysis

## Maintaining External Competitiveness

New Mexico's compensation strategy for at least the last decade has been to "match" the market and be the average payer in the Comparator market region. In 2019, New Mexico ranked fifth. (Table 8) in the eight state Comparator market.

## New Mexico Classified Employee Average \& Median Salary

 Comparison
## 32\% of New Mexico's classified

 employees earn between \$20,000 and $\$ 40,000$ annually.Average and median classified salaries advanced from 2006 to 2008 and then remained flat from 2008 to 2013. From 2014 to 2020, both the average and median classified salaries gradually increased. New

| Table 8: Eight - State Comparator <br> Market |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Colorado | $\$ 66,033$ |
| Wyoming | $\$ 54,605$ |
| Utah |  |
| Arizona | $\$ 48,462$ |
| New Mexico | $\$ 50,502$ |
| Oklahoma | $\$ 44,716$ |
| Texas |  |
| Nevada |  |
| Kansas |  |

Mexico classified employee average salary rose over 4.5\% in 2020.
However, while the average salary rose, FY20 data reflects that $32 \%$ of New Mexico's classified employees earned between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 40,000$ annually. Supplemental information may be found in Graph 4 and Table 9.
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Table 9

|  | 2008 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below \$10,000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| \$10,000-\$20,000 | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% |
| \$20,000-\$30,000 | 23.1\% | 23.7\% | 22.1\% | 15.6\% | 12.4\% | 11.7\% | 9.9\% | 6.3\% |
| \$30,000-\$40,000 | 29.6\% | 28.9\% | 31.0\% | 31.7\% | 33.3\% | 33.2\% | 28.0\% | 25.7\% |
| \$40,000-\$50,000 | 19.3\% | 19.4\% | 19.3\% | 21.1\% | 21.9\% | 22.1\% | 25.4\% | 26.9\% |
| \$50,000-\$60,000 | 12.6\% | 12.1\% | 11.5\% | 12.8\% | 12.7\% | 12.5\% | 14.7\% | 17.2\% |
| \$60,000-\$70,000 | 6.5\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 8.9\% | 8.0\% | 8.1\% | 8.5\% | 8.6\% |
| \$70,000-\$80,000 | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.9\% | 4.7\% | 5.3\% | 5.6\% | 6.2\% |
| \$80,000-\$90,000 | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.9\% | 3.2\% | 4.0\% |
| \$90,000-\$100,000 | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Above \$100,000 | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 2.0\% |

## Occupation-Based Salary Structures

SPO continues to assess the classified service classification and pay system to identify any components in need of updating, modification, or deletion. Within job classifications, the size of job - the needed knowledge, skills, problem solving, and accountability - can vary greatly. These variances will compound over time, as our current and future classifications demand more and more specialization and skill.

SPO has created a classification structure with the following pay lines, each targeted towards a particular sector, and which take into account the sizes of different jobs and the movement of the market in these sectors. These occupation-based pay lines are:

- Corrections (Completed)
- Information Technology (Completed)
- Engineer, Surveyor, Water Resources, Engineering Tech (Completed)
- Architecture (Completed)
- Attorneys (Completed)
- Social Services (Completed)

The Healthcare Classification and Salary Study has been completed and approved by the SPB. Unfortunately, the public health emergency has created significant budgetary constraints and, as a result, the implementation of the Healthcare Study has been delayed. The classification study of Human Resource professionals is in progress as is the Health Care Support Study.

The implemented pay lines are intended to provide policy makers with the flexibility to assess both economic indicators and agency requests and will also enable policy makers to take appropriate, targeted salary-based action.

The classification framework organizes jobs in State government by occupation, thereby providing a mechanism to correct the link between classification and compensation as they relate to the market. The classification framework provides a means by which the evolution and placement of certain classifications can be accommodated in the future without having to reshuffle the entire framework.

Each classification is categorized into a dedicated job family with defined levels of work. Every job family contains a classification series that fits together within that job family, such as Civil Engineers and Electrical Engineers within the Engineering family. Once all the classification series have been placed into their job families, the families are assigned to an occupation-based pay line, which allows SPO to responsively adjust to market pressures that could affect certain types of job families, as seen in the example below:

Occupational Group GROUP A: Engineers, Surveyors, Water Resources Specialists and Engineering Technicians

| Job Families: | Engineers |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Surveyors |
|  | Water Resources |
|  | Engineering Technicians |


#### Abstract

Job Family: Engineers Description Engineers design and supervise the construction of roads, buildings, airports, tunnels, dams, bridges, and water supply and sewage systems. They must consider many factors in the design process from the construction costs and expected lifetime of a project to government regulations and potential environmental hazards such as earthquakes and hurricanes. Major areas of professional focus are structural, water resources, construction, transportation, and geotechnical engineering. Levels of Work


| Job Family: <br> Description | Engineers |
| :---: | :---: |
| Engineer Graduate | This position is the entry level position in the Engineering Series, accountable for verification of accuracy and completion of submissions based on prescribed templates. The person in this position receives close supervision from a Licensed Professional Engineer. |
| Engineer Intern | This position is the second level of the Engineering Series which performs standard engineering assignments of limited to moderate complexity in a relevant discipline in accordance with accepted agency practices. The person in this position exercises limited judgment on details of work and in application of standard methods for conventional work. Licensed Professional Engineer will provide general review of all aspects of this person's work and provide close supervision on unusual or difficult problems or work assignments. |
| Engineer Professional I | This position is the third level of the Engineering Series which performs professional engineering work with both standard and varied assignments of a moderate to complex nature representing a significant portion of a large project or an entire project of moderate complexity in accordance with accepted agency practices. The person in this position independently evaluates, selects, and adapts standard techniques, procedures, and criteria and has a general knowledge of principles and practices of related fields. In addition, the person in this position over time uses advanced techniques in the modification or extension of theories and practices to complete job assignments and may work on a major project or several projects of moderate scope with complex features. |
| Engineer Professional II | This position is the highest non-management level of the Engineering Series and is a seasoned senior position which is accountable for complex design and design review in a designated engineering discipline. Independently applies extensive and diversified knowledge of principles and practices in broad areas of assignments and related fields. Plans and coordinates detailed aspects of agency work. Receives general direction on key objectives and when necessary on unconventional problems. |
| Engineer <br> Manager I | This position is the first level of management in the Engineering Series which provides supervision over subordinate engineers in which the primary focus is on resource management and the leadership of staff. Responsible for managing all aspects of a specific agency project. This function requires having knowledge of Federal regulations \& engineering requirements for this program area; coordinating with the Federal oversight agency and other agencies/departments \& outside contracted firms; managing \& analyzing SCL and PDA rating data; managing subordinates' \& others' work; \& preparing progress reports. |
| Engineer <br> Manager II | This position is the second level of management in the Engineering Series which focuses on the supervision of subordinate managers and staff. Incumbents at this level have managerial and technical accountability for the overall results of assigned organizational units. Responsible for managing the day to day operations of the assigned agencies bureaus/sections; works in collaboration with agency sections to coordinate testing processes; provides support to agency management, District Offices and functional groups; and actively participates on the agency specific Subcommittee. |
| Engineer <br> Manager III | This position is the highest level of management in the Engineering Series which focuses on the supervision of subordinate managers and staff and the allocation of resources. Incumbents at this level have considerable managerial and technical accountability for the overall results of assigned organizational units. Supervise and manage engineers and programs that are responsible for the scheduling, development and delivery of assigned design projects for assigned geographic area. |

Defining and accurately placing jobs within this framework minimizes salary inequities between jobs across agencies by creating the right number of jobs per family and compensating them appropriately based on the level of work for which the position is responsible. The framework also gives decision makers more flexibility in appropriating salary increases when faced with economic instability or resource scarcity by giving them the ability to target occupational pay lines that are in the most need of an adjustment due to the market or increased difficulties in recruiting and retaining incumbents.

## Average Salary Data by Pay Band

Tables 10a-g show the number of State classified employees in each pay band and the employee average salary and Comparatio by pay band. The data show that the average Compa-ratio in the General Pay Line is generally below midpoint in the lowest pay band and above midpoint in the higher pay bands. Compa-ratios for employees in the new occupation-based pay lines are generally lower. This is a result of implementing pay structures with higher market-based midpoints. The Attorney and Social Service Salary Schedules were both implemented in FY19 and are described in the section immediately following.

Table 10a

| General Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | \$23,231 | 99\% | 219 |
| 30 | \$24,391 | 102\% | 228 |
| 35 | \$27,465 | 104\% | 204 |
| 40 | \$29,768 | 105\% | 811 |
| 45 | \$33,132 | 107\% | 857 |
| 50 | \$35,889 | 105\% | 1,213 |
| 55 | \$39,865 | 104\% | 1,517 |
| 60 | \$42,603 | 102\% | 1,989 |
| 65 | \$49,103 | 106\% | 2,151 |
| 70 | \$54,578 | 106\% | 1,621 |
| 75 | \$63,378 | 109\% | 1,097 |
| 80 | \$72,825 | 112\% | 615 |
| 85 | \$81,575 | 109\% | 615 |
| 90 | \$94,492 | 110\% | 261 |
| 95 | \$105,037 | 107\% | 73 |
| 96 | \$115,131 | 101\% | 26 |
| 97 | \$150,270 | 114\% | 10 |
| 98 | \$168,220 | 109\% | 17 |
| 99 | \$305,657 | 107\% | 24 |

Table 10b

| Corrections Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA | $\$ 32,362$ | $89 \%$ | 35 |
| CB | $\$ 40,559$ | $91 \%$ | 752 |


| CC | $\$ 44,561$ | $91 \%$ | 182 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD | $\$ 50,110$ | $93 \%$ | 102 |
| CE | $\$ 56,876$ | $98 \%$ | 27 |
| CG | $\$ 72,158$ | $107 \%$ | 5 |
| CH | $\$ 65,222$ | $89 \%$ | 6 |
| CJ | $\$ 70,472$ | $84 \%$ | 30 |
| CK | $\$ 79,449$ | $85 \%$ | 13 |
| CL | $\$ 92,976$ | $90 \%$ | 5 |
| CM | $\$ 99,849$ | $86 \%$ | 2 |

Table 10c

| Information Technology Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IA | $\$ 42,334$ | $103 \%$ | 17 |
| IB | $\$ 48,463$ | $101 \%$ | 41 |
| IC | $\$ 57,257$ | $101 \%$ | 55 |
| ID | $\$ 59,619$ | $94 \%$ | 124 |
| IE | $\$ 73,127$ | $102 \%$ | 169 |
| IF | $\$ 83,979$ | $104 \%$ | 207 |
| IG | $\$ 97,005$ | $103 \%$ | 55 |
| IH | $\$ 103,389$ | $93 \%$ | 28 |
| II | $\$ 112,136$ | $92 \%$ | 14 |
| IJ | $\$ 120,227$ | $89 \%$ | 5 |
| IK | $\$ 134,608$ | $88 \%$ | 5 |

Table 10d

| Engineer Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EA | $\$ 38,285$ | $103 \%$ | 53 |
| EB | $\$ 43,357$ | $105 \%$ | 127 |
| EC | $\$ 47,979$ | $104 \%$ | 139 |
| ED | $\$ 53,116$ | $101 \%$ | 95 |
| EE | $\$ 60,843$ | $99 \%$ | 133 |
| EF | $\$ 70,880$ | $95 \%$ | 93 |
| EG | $\$ 81,843$ | $99 \%$ | 99 |
| EH | $\$ 96,040$ | $105 \%$ | 24 |
| EI | $\$ 99,732$ | $96 \%$ | 27 |
| EJ | $\$ 112,736$ | $102 \%$ | 18 |
| EK | $\$ 120,750$ | $100 \%$ | 10 |

Table 10e

| Social Service Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | $\$ 42,033$ | $93 \%$ | 182 |
| SE | $\$ 49,696$ | $97 \%$ | 292 |


| SF | $\$ 59,236$ | $102 \%$ | 112 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG | $\$ 65,264$ | $97 \%$ | 60 |
| SH | $\$ 79,170$ | $98 \%$ | 27 |
| SI | $\$ 78,666$ | $88 \%$ | 7 |

Table 10f

| Architect Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AA | $\$ 53,396$ | $80 \%$ | 1 |
| AB | $\$ 69,688$ | $92 \%$ | 7 |
| AC | $\$ 101,930$ | $100 \%$ | 1 |

Table 10g

| Attorney Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LE | $\$ 62,271$ | $92 \%$ | 17 |
| LF | $\$ 68,009$ | $93 \%$ | 13 |
| LG | $\$ 78,621$ | $100 \%$ | 40 |
| LH | $\$ 84,682$ | $98 \%$ | 87 |
| LI | $\$ 94,541$ | $101 \%$ | 56 |
| LJ | $\$ 103,556$ | $103 \%$ | 12 |

## Key Classification Studies that Solved Staffing and Pay Issues

In FY20, SPO continued to more accurately align the type of work being performed with competitive occupation-based salary structures when it completed the Healthcare classification series and new salary structure, requiring new job codes and pay bands. Unfortunately, the public health emergency has created significant budgetary constraints, and, as a result, the implementation of the Healthcare study has been indefinitely delayed.

## Average Salary Data by Agency

Appendix E illustrates data similar to the section above, grouped by State agency. The average Compa-ratio by agency for classified employees ranges from the New Mexico Corrections Department at 94\% Compa-ratio to the Architect Examiners Board at $122 \%$. The average Compa-ratio for all employees is approximately $103 \%$.

## Salary Structure

In order for an organization, especially a large one, to manage pay efficiently and effectively, it must simplify the administration of pay into a practical system. To accomplish this, organizations create job classifications that group jobs of approximately the
same job size or "worth." SPO uses the Hay Group Guide Chart-Profile Method of Job Evaluation to determine the size of each classification.

Each classification is then assigned to the appropriate pay band. A pay range sets the upper and lower bounds of possible compensation for individuals whose jobs fall within a specific pay band. Each pay band in the classified General Salary Structure is currently $74 \%$ wide - meaning the maximum rate of pay is $74 \%$ greater than the minimum rate of pay. The two newer salary structures have pay bands that are $40 \%$ wide for Corrections and $67 \%$ wide for Information Technology. Pay bands act to identify the lower and upper ranges of pay that the State is willing to pay for a particular job.

From an internal consistency perspective, the range of pay within a pay band reflects the approximate differences in performance or experience that the State is willing to recognize for a given level of work.

## 74\% General Classified Band Width

## 67\% Information Technology Band Width



## Regression Analysis

Regression analysis is a statistical tool that is used in compensation administration to document salary movement and generate pay lines. For New Mexico, the linear regression line that connects the midpoint values of each pay band within a given salary schedule is described as the "policy" line for that salary schedule. Policy lines define what the State is able to pay in order to remain competitive.

Two other linear regression lines commonly used in compensation analysis are the "market" line and the "practice" line. The market line is developed using the average pay rates for each relevant Comparator market job. The practice line represents the average pay of classified employees using actual pay rates. In sum:

- Policy Line = New Mexico Classified Service Midpoints of Current Salary Structure
- Market Line = Average of Comparator Market Pay Rates, and
- Practice Line = New Mexico Average of Classified Employee Actual Pay Rates

Using regression analysis in 2001, SPO implemented a salary structure that was set at 95\% of the eight-state Comparator market. Use of regression analysis continues through FY20 for all New Mexico salary structures.

Salary structures must accurately reflect the salary market for many reasons, including recruitment, retention, employee motivation, performance management, employee engagement, and appropriate valuation of job and budget management. An improperly maintained salary structure contributes to staffing problems for the State. For example, in the recruitment area, qualified applicants may not apply for State positions due to low starting pay or low salary ceiling thresholds. Existing highly qualified state employees may see the lack of salary structure movement and lack of wage growth opportunity as a reason to seek employment outside of the State, causing a talent drain.

## Classified Employee Compa-Ratio

Below, in Graph 5, the distribution of State classified employee Compa-ratios is illustrated. The distribution normally resembles a bell-shaped curve, with several multi-modal spikes, with the number of employees spread fairly evenly throughout the distribution.
Graph 5

## Breakdown of Employee Compa-Ratio



In FY20, new hire pay rates increased to a $100.3 \%$ Compa-ratio. (See Graph 6)

## Graph 6



## Alternative Pay Bands (APB)

An APB assignment is used when the current market rate for a classification significantly exceeds the pay band assigned through the job evaluation process. APB assignments are typically utilized due to external market pressures, such as the low supply of and high demand for labor (labor shortage). When a labor shortage exists, organizations compete with one another to attract and retain qualified employees. Since the internal value (size of job identified through job evaluation process) has not changed - i.e., no higher job qualifications or more complex job duties and responsibilities -- it does not make sense to permanently assign the classification to a different pay band. The solution is to "temporarily" assign the classification to a higher pay band for a limited time until either the market pressures recede, or the actual employer-generated pay for employees catches up to the market rate, and the higher APB assignment is no longer needed. The implementation of occupation-based salary structures has allowed the State to reduce, though not eliminate, the need for APBs in critical occupations. A complete list of all job classifications assigned to APBs can be found in Appendix F.

## Pay Administration

## Pay Mechanisms

The SPB Rules provide pay mechanisms that enhance recruitment and retention efforts by providing agencies with a number of tools to attract and retain a qualified workforce. The various pay mechanisms are collectively referred to as multiple components of pay (MCOP) and are explained and listed below:

- Temporary Recruitment Differentials (TRECs) are increases in hiring pay authorized for positions documented as being critical to the business needs of an agency and for which the agency has demonstrated and documented recruitment difficulty.
- Temporary Retention Differentials (TRETs) are increases in pay authorized for positions documented as being critical to the business needs of an agency and from which an employee's departure would disrupt the agency's ability to fulfill its mission.
- Temporary Salary Increases (TSIs) are used when an employee temporarily accepts and consistently performs additional duties which are characteristic of a job requiring greater responsibility and accountability, making it a higher valued job. A TSI is a short-term salary measure that may be used until the conditions creating the additional duties and responsibilities cease to exist and may not exceed a one-year period.
- In-Pay Band Salary Adjustments (IPBs) provide agencies the latitude to make recommendations to the State Personnel Director for a base compensation increase up to ten percent (10\%) within a fiscal year for employees whose performance has demonstrated placement at a higher Compa-ratio. This pay mechanism allows flexibility for agencies to provide salary growth within the pay band. DFA reviews the requests to ensure current and future agency budget availability.

Graph 7 shows the activity for each MCOP utilized by the State from FY16 through FY20. The continued decrease in the use of temporary MCOPs (TSI, TREC and TRET) is a result of SPO's development of the new classification pay structures and its continued efforts to ensure that agencies are in compliance with SPB Rules. Temporary pay mechanisms are reviewed and
authorized for various periods of time, on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with SPB rules, and agency budgetary constraints as monitored by DFA.

Graph 7


The increase in IPBs in FY19 was the result of pay increases granted to healthcare- and community services-related professionals at DOH and HSD. These IPBs brought employees closer to new-hire Compa-ratios and corrected internal alignment, appropriate placement, and compaction issues.

## Classified Service Demographics

Graphs 8-11 below detail the education level, ethnicity, gender and age demographics of State classified employees.

## Graph 8

## Classified Employees By Education Level



Graph 9

## Classified Employees By Ethnicity



Graph 10


Graph 11


## County-by-County Population vs. Classified Demographics

Table 11 shows that in nearly all New Mexico counties, the State classified employee average salary is competitive and higher than the non-State classified employee average salary. There are a few instances, however, where that is not the case. In Eddy and Lea counties, for example, traditional oil and gas producing areas, average State classified employee salaries are significantly less than average county salaries. Similarly, in San Juan County, a significant petroleum producer, the average State classified employee salary is less than the average employee salary, as starting salaries for oil and gas and power station employees are typically in the $\$ 60,000$ range. The longer-term effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on oil and gas revenues and salaries have yet to be determined or realized. Further, the anticipated closing of the San Juan Generating Station will also affect employees there. State classified service salaries also have difficulty competing against the technology centers located in Sandoval and Los Alamos counties, as those counties are home to Intel and Los Alamos National Laboratory, respectively.

Table 11

| County Demographics |  |  | State Classified Demographics |  | State Classified Comparison |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Population | Median Salary | FTE | Median <br> Salary | \% FTE /County | Salary Difference |
| Bernalillo | 690,810 | \$51,831 | 3,684 | \$50,686 | 0.53\% | -\$1,145 |
| Catron | 3,885 | \$38,488 | 17 | \$41,736 | 0.44\% | \$3,248 |
| Chaves | 65,486 | \$45,668 | 616 | \$47,897 | 0.94\% | \$2,229 |
| Cibola | 25,854 | \$34,903 | 348 | \$43,398 | 1.35\% | \$8,495 |
| Colfax | 13,094 | \$3,440 | 388 | \$54,582 | 2.96\% | \$51,142 |
| Curry | 52,143 | \$42,532 | 136 | \$45,541 | 0.26\% | \$3,009 |
| De Baca | 1,859 | \$33,347 | 13 | \$45,240 | 0.70\% | \$11,893 |
| Doña Ana | 229,150 | \$35,787 | 1,236 | \$47,147 | 0.54\% | \$11,360 |
| Eddy | 58,128 | \$58,255 | 133 | \$46,425 | 0.23\% | -\$11,830 |
| Grant | 29,828 | \$35,793 | 353 | \$43,330 | 1.18\% | \$7,537 |
| Guadalupe | 4,926 | \$24,704 | 44 | \$41,683 | 0.89\% | \$16,979 |
| Harding | 629 | \$31,250 | 6 | \$36,883 | 0.95\% | \$5,633 |
| Hidalgo | 4,879 | \$33,893 | 28 | \$36,220 | 0.57\% | \$2,327 |
| Lea | 72,114 | \$52,355 | 147 | \$47,550 | 0.20\% | -\$4,805 |
| Lincoln | 21,591 | \$40,718 | 86 | \$42,945 | 0.40\% | \$2,227 |
| Los Alamos | 18,638 | \$117,391 | 8 | \$60,270 | 0.04\% | -\$57,121 |
| Luna | 24,617 | \$28,198 | 202 | \$48,039 | 0.82\% | \$19,841 |
| McKinley | 70,698 | \$34,226 | 157 | \$42,640 | 0.22\% | \$8,414 |
| Mora | 4,639 | \$38,070 | 19 | \$39,018 | 0.41\% | \$948 |
| Otero | 68,853 | \$42,509 | 175 | \$43,212 | 0.25\% | \$703 |
| Quay | 8,566 | \$29,872 | 87 | \$41,454 | 1.02\% | \$11,582 |
| Rio Arriba | 39,186 | \$39,403 | 137 | \$44,203 | 0.35\% | \$4,800 |
| Roosevelt | 20,100 | \$38,554 | 51 | \$43,219 | 0.25\% | \$4,665 |
| San Juan | 133,485 | \$45,989 | 240 | \$45,335 | 0.18\% | -\$654 |


| San Miguel | 29,018 | $\$ 29,933$ | 894 | $\$ 44,925$ | $3.08 \%$ | $\$ 14,992$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sandoval | 151,949 | $\$ 54,152$ | 236 | $\$ 44,861$ | $0.16 \%$ | $-\$ 9,291$ |
| Santa Fe | 150,818 | $\$ 58,860$ | 5,896 | $\$ 58,413$ | $3.91 \%$ | $-\$ 447$ |
| Sierra | 11,726 | $\$ 28,466$ | 229 | $\$ 44,394$ | $1.95 \%$ | $\$ 15,928$ |
| Socorro | 17,903 | $\$ 33,457$ | 81 | $\$ 44,259$ | $0.45 \%$ | $\$ 10,802$ |
| Taos | 32,560 | $\$ 34,515$ | 136 | $\$ 45,671$ | $0.42 \%$ | $\$ 11,156$ |
| Torrance | 16,736 | $\$ 38,259$ | 69 | $\$ 42,540$ | $0.41 \%$ | $\$ 4,281$ |
| Union | 4,388 | $\$ 37,406$ | 123 | $\$ 43,434$ | $2.80 \%$ | $\$ 6,028$ |
| Valencia | 79,821 | $\$ 44,250$ | 762 | $\$ 41,166$ | $0.95 \%$ | $-\$ 3,084$ |

## Classification Studies

During FY19, SPO undertook one of its largest classification studies to date. The Healthcare Study, which involved the development of 101 new classification descriptions, the creation of new job codes, and the development of a new occupationbased salary structure, was the most comprehensive study SPO has conducted for healthcare occupations since 2001. The Study was completed in the first quarter of FY20. Due to the economic implications of the public health emergency, implementation of the Study has been postponed indefinitely.

## Misclassification \& Classification Creep

Job misclassification and classification creep often occur when wages do not keep pace with the comparative market, resulting in employees being "artificially" promoted or reclassified into higher pay bands with greater pay opportunities.

The solution to misclassification and classification creep is for SPO and State agencies to continue working together to ensure that positions are properly classified and that work units are organized efficiently with the most streamlined workflows. SPO continues to work with State agencies to perform desk audits and organizational reviews when work units are not operating efficiently.

## Leave Accruals and Payouts

## Annual Leave

One of the State's many employee benefits is paid time off. Employees may use accrued leave and be paid for the hours they are absent from work due to vacation or being sick. Sick leave may also be used to care for sick family members.

Classified employees accrue annual leave as outlined in the SPB Rules, based on their tenure. For example, employees with less than three years of service accrue just over 80 hours of leave per year, while those with over 15 years of service accrue nearly

160 hours per year. As shown in Graph 12, State classified employees used approximately 1.48 million hours of accrued annual leave in FY20.

Actual annual leave usage, and costs, from FY14 through FY20 is shown in the following graphs:


Graph 12

When employees separate from State service, they are eligible to cash out up to 240 hours of annual leave at their current hourly pay rate. Any additional hours over 240 are forfeited at the time of separation, as well as at the end of each calendar year for active employees. On October 16, 2020, the SPB approved a rule change allowing State employees to carry over accrued annual leave in excess of 240 hours past December 31, 2020 and until July 9,2021 , so they have a chance to use, rather than lose, those accrued hours they have been unable to use as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Employees are not entitled to cash out these excess hours should they separate from State service before July 9, 2021.

Graph 14 below shows that employees who separated from the State classified service cashed out a total of $\$ 4,200,000$ of annual leave in FY20. The average employee who separated cashed out approximately 6.2 days of annual leave.

Graph 14


Graph 15


## Sick Leave

In FY20, the SPB approved an increase to the sick leave accrual rate, setting the new rate at 4 hours per pay period (up from 3.69 hours per pay period) for a total of 104 hours per year. The sick leave actual usage and cost for FY14 through FY20 are shown on Graphs 20 and 21. In FY20, employees used approximately 1.21 million hours of sick leave, as compared to the FY19 level of 1.31 million hours, resulting in a decreased cost of approximately $\$ 1,100,000$.

## Graph 16



Graph 17


Employees are eligible to cash out accrued sick leave over 600 hours once per fiscal year, either in July or January, at one-half their hourly rate for up to 120 hours of sick leave. At the time of retirement, employees can cash out accrued sick leave over 600 hours at one-half their hourly rate for up to 400 hours of sick leave. Graph 19 shows that in FY20, active employees cashed out a total of 49,490 hours of sick leave, and retiring employees cashed out approximately 3,200 hours of sick leave. Graph 22 shows the sick leave buy back costs for the State from FY16 through FY20.



## Fitness and Paid Parental Leave

Pursuant to Executive Order 2019-024, SPO was charged with developing a fitness and wellness policy for State agencies to implement. Accordingly, classified employees are now eligible for two hours of paid fitness and wellness leave per week. Graph 20 shows that classified State employees used approximately 82,000 hours of fitness and wellness leave in FY20.

Effective January 1, 2020, Governor Michelle Lujan Grisham issued Executive Order 2019-036, subsequently amended pursuant to Executive Order 2020-062, effective September 2, 2020, granting twelve weeks of Paid Parental Leave to eligible classified employees. Graph 16 shows that classified State employees used 19,570 hours of Paid Parental Leave in FY20.

Graph 20


Graph 21
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Graph 23

## Overtime

Agencies are expected to assign work in a responsible manner, so as to avoid the need for overtime. Managers and supervisors typically use existing staff resources to meet work demands; however, understaffing, special projects, or emergency situations may require employees to work additional hours. Allowing overtime is left largely to the discretion of the agencies. The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) requires that non-exempt employees be compensated for any additional hours worked over 40 in a workweek, at 1.5 times their salary. Agencies may also allow employees to accrue compensatory time in lieu of cash payment
for overtime worked. FLSA exempt employees (those not covered by the overtime provisions of FLSA) may be compensated for overtime worked according to agency policy.

There is a correlation between vacancy rates and overtime hours worked. If an agency has a vacant position, employees may be required to do the work that would normally be done by that position by working additional hours.

Graphs 24 and 25 below depict the usage and cost of State employee overtime from FY14 through FY20. During FY20, FLSA nonexempt and FLSA exempt employees together were paid approximately $\$ 38$ million dollars in the form of either a cash payment or compensatory time off.

## Graph 24



## Graph 25



## Turnover \& Vacancy

## Hiring

The State Personnel Act mandates a competitive ranking of applicants. ${ }^{5}$ The SPO website is the portal for applicants to apply for jobs within the State's classified service. In July 2018, the State implemented the Talent Acquisition module in the SHARE system. This applicant tracking system within SHARE enables agency HR Managers to provide hiring managers with ranked lists of applicants, allowing hiring managers to make interview decisions from pools of applicants with the job-related qualifications required to successfully perform the advertised jobs.

[^3]
## Separation

As shown by Chart 3 below, there were 2,485 total separations in FY20. Of these, 63\%, or 1,569 separations were voluntary and only $14 \%$, or 342 , were involuntary separations. Of the voluntary separations, 574 were due to retirement. There were no separations related to a Reduction In Force (RIF) in FY20.

## Chart 3

| Classified Separation Reason |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3000 \\ 2500 \\ 2000 \\ 1500 \\ 1000 \\ 500 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{Q} 1} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Q} 2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| - Voluntary | 491 | 389 | 411 | 278 | 1,569 |
| $\square$ Retirement | 111 | 176 | 154 | 133 | 574 |
| - Involuntary | 73 | 82 | 81 | 106 | 342 |
| - RIF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Grand Total | 675 | 647 | 646 | 517 | 2,485 |

## Turnover Rates

Turnover rates affect the State in many ways, including the cost to hire, which involves the labor costs associated with reviewing applications, interviewing candidates, and training new employees. Unfilled positions not only take a toll on production, but also negatively affect employee morale due to increased workloads and responsibilities, long hours, potential lack of adequate training, potential poor communication, and organizational practices. These potential morale issues can ultimately cause a domino effect of burnt out employees who are eager to find a job with less stress, and an increased work and family life balance. Improvements in the recruitment and selection system improve an agency's ability to hire and retain high performing and engaged employees.
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## Appendix A - Industry \& Economic Data Sources

| WorldatWork Total Salary Increase Budget Survey: <br> (United States participating members) | WorldatWork is a nonprofit human resources association focused on compensation, benefits, work-life effectiveness and total rewards. WorldatWork has more than 70,000 members and subscribers worldwide. Their Salary Budget Survey is the \#1 source in the industry, as well as the longest and largest survey of its kind. WorldatWork projects an average salary increase of three and three tenths percent ( $3.3 \%$ ) across all US industries for 2020. <br> Supporting data may be found at www.worldatwork.org. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Korn Ferry HayGroup: <br> (United States participating member) | Korn Ferry is a global management and consulting firm providing a range of human resources services to companies in 110 countries. They are a leading provider of compensation data, strategy and services across all major industries and employment sectors. For 2020, Korn Ferry projects a three percent (3.0\%) average base salary increase across all industries. <br> Supporting data may be found at www.kornferry.com |
| Mercer: <br> (United States participating member) | Mercer is a global human resource consulting firm providing services from strategy to implementation. Mercer is a leading provider of compensation and benefits information created from one of the largest warehouses of employer-reported data, with benchmark data representing 17 million employees from over 6,000 organizations. Mercer projects an average salary increase of three and six tenths percent ( $3.6 \%$ ) across all industries for 2020. <br> Supporting data may be found at www.imercer.com. |
| Willis Towers Watson: <br> (United States participating member) | Willis Towers Watson is a global advisory and solutions company with over 40,000 employees in more than 140 countries. The Willis Towers Watson General Industry Salary Budget Survey found salary increases are expected to hold steady in 2020, with projections for exempt, non-management employees at three and one tenths percent (3.1\%), management employees at three and one tenths percent ( $3.1 \%$ ), non-exempt hourly employees at three percent ( $3.0 \%$ ) and non-exempt salaried employees at two and nine tenths percent (2.9\%). <br> Supporting data may be found at www.willistowerswatson.com. |
| Aon: <br> (United States participating member | The Aon U.S. Salary Increase Survey of 1,062 U.S. companies indicates that organizations plan on providing a three and two tenths percent ( $3.2 \%$ ) salary increase across all industries for 2020. <br> Supporting data may be found at www.aon.com. |
| Salary.com: | Salary.com is the leading provider of cloud-based compensation market data and analytics. Founded in 1999, the Company serves approximately 4,000 business-to-business customers worldwide with its market-leading CompAnalyst platform. Salary.com projects that the median annual salary increase will remain at three percent ( $3.0 \%$ ) across all industries for 2020. <br> Supporting data may be found at www.salary.com. |
| United States Bureau of Labor Statistics: | The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) of the U.S. Department of Labor is the principal Federal agency responsible for measuring labor market activity, working conditions and price changes in the US economy. Its mission is to collect, analyze, and disseminate essential economic information to support |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { public and private decision-making. As an independent statistical agency, BLS serves its diverse user } \\ \text { communities by providing products and services that are objective, timely, accurate and relevant. }\end{array} \\ \text { New Mexico } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Supporting data may be found at www.bls.gov. }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { The New Mexico Department of Workforce Solutions (DWS) is responsible for economic research and } \\ \text { analysis, business development and outreach, employment outreach and transition programs, } \\ \text { Workforce services programs, and labor compliance programs. The Economic Research and Analysis }\end{array} \\ \text { Bureau of DWS publishes a wide variety of reports and data on labor market information. The Bureau } \\ \text { measures labor market activity, working conditions and price changes in the statewide economy. }\end{array}\right\}$

Appendix B - Legislative Fiscal Year Increase in Detail

| Date | Legislative Increase | Other | General Fund Appropriation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/1/2020 | 1.00\% | The Legislature appropriated one million seven hundred thousand dollars to the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) to distribute to executive, legislative and judicial agencies to provide a one percent (1\%) salary increase for State employees earning less than fifty thousand dollars $(\$ 50,000)$ per year on a fulltime equivalent basis. | \$1,700,000 |
| 7/1/2019 | 4.00\% | The Legislature appropriated twenty-one million six hundred eleven thousand two hundred dollars to provide incumbents in agencies governed by the State Personnel Act, the New Mexico state police career pay system, attorney general employees, workers' compensation judges and executive exempt employees with an average salary increase of four percent (4\%). | \$21,611,200 |
| 7/1/2018 | 2.00\% | The Legislature appropriated from the general fund to DFA for expenditure in fiscal year 2019 to provide salary increases to employees in budgeted positions who have completed their probationary period subject to satisfactory job performance. | \$12,600,000 |
|  | 1.00\% | The Legislature appropriated from the general fund to DFA one hundred two thousand eight hundred dollars to provide an additional $1 \%$ salary increase to employees in budgeted positions earning less than twenty-five thousand dollars $(\$ 25,000)$ per year on a full time equivalent basis. | \$102,800 |
| 7/1/2017 | 0.00\% | $\square$ | - |
| 7/1/2016 | 0.00\% | The Legislature appropriated four-and-one-half million dollars $(\$ 4,500,000)$ to the Corrections Department specifically to "...implement an occupation-based salary structure that brings staff salaries to the minimum of the pay bands and to provide targeted salary increases to custody staff for the purpose of reducing compaction and improving employee recruitment and retention ...". | \$4,500,000 |
| 7/1/2015 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/5/2014 | 3.00\% | - The Legislature appropriated fifteen million nine hundred seventy-three thousand nine hundred sixtyeight dollars $(\$ 15,973,968)$ from the general fund to provide a three percent $(3 \%)$ salary increase effective the first full pay period after $7 / 1 / 2013$ for both union and non-union classified employees who have completed their probationary period and subject to a satisfactory job evaluation. Employees who reach the end of probationary status between $7 / 5 / 14$ and $6 / 30 / 15$ will receive this increase effective the first pay period following anniversary date. <br> - An additional two million dollars $(\$ 2,000,000)$ was appropriated from the general fund for salary adjustments in specific classified job classifications to be identified by SPO and DFA. | \$15,973,968 |
| 7/6/2013 | 1.00\% | - Additional 3\% was given to commissioned officers in the Motor Transportation Division for a total of 4\%. | \$8,197,068 |
| 7/1/2011 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2010 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2009 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2008 | 2.90\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2007 | 4.50\% | - Bring 86 employees to $\$ 7.50 / \mathrm{hr}$. <br> - $5 \%$ to MTD/SID Officers at DPS "in lieu" of FY08 pay package. <br> - Additional 5\% to Adult Correctional Officers and Public Defender Attorneys. <br> - Additional 4\% to Probation/Parole Officers, Librarian, Librarian Asst., Librarian Tech., Livestock/Meat Inspector, Dispatcher, Security Guard, Forensic Scientist O \& A roles, Highway Maintainers, Civil Engineering Tech. Also HSD FAA's, \& CSLA. DOH Chemist; Microbiologist; Life, Physical \& Social Science Tech. and Medical Scientist-Except Epidemiologist. | \$29,661,100 |
| 7/1/2006 | 5.00\% | - MTD/SID Officers at the Department of Public Safety. $\$ 129,600$ for MTD Officers and $\$ 182,600$ for SID Officers. This resulted in an average $18.0 \%$ increase for MTD and an average $20.2 \%$ increase for SID. | \$23,097,100 |
| 7/1/2005 | 1.80\% | - Public Defender Attorneys - 1.75\% + an additional 3.25\% = 5.0\% <br> - Commissioned Officers at DPS $=5.0 \%$. This includes MTD \& SID Commissioned Officers. | \$11,408,100 |


| Date | Legislative Increase | Other | General Fund Appropriation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - Adult Probation \& Parole Officers at the Department of Corrections 3.25\% then the $1.75 \%$ General Salary Increase on top of the 3.25\% <br> - MVD Clerks at the Taxation \& Revenue Department. $\$ 585,000$ given directly to agency in expansion request to bring clerks to $85 \%$ Compa-ratio <br> - Game and Fish Department: $\$ 1,250,000$ given to provide internal salary increases to Conservation Officers and other agency staff. Worked with department to develop internal pay plan. |  |
| 7/1/2004 | 2.00\% |  | \$9,100,600 |
| 7/1/2003 | 3.10\% |  | \$5,810,000 |

*Full cost includes state paid benefits.

## Appendix C - 2020 Year Comparator Market Average Classified Salary

| Year | 8 State Comparator Market Average | New Mexico | Percent NM to Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | \$35,116 | \$31,858 | -10.2\% |
| 2002 | \$34,809 | \$32,558 | -6.9\% |
| 2003 | \$36,249 | \$33,426 | -8.4\% |
| 2004 | \$37,418 | \$34,018 | -10.0\% |
| 2005 | \$37,157 | \$35,834 | -3.7\% |
| 2006 | \$39,274 | \$37,918 | -3.6\% |
| 2007 | \$39,787 | \$38,820 | -2.5\% |
| 2008 | \$41,712 | \$42,099 | 0.9\% |
| 2009 | \$43,398 | \$42,058 | -3.2\% |
| 2010 | \$43,671 | \$41,986 | -4.0\% |
| 2011 | \$41,818 | \$41,995 | 0.4\% |
| 2012 | \$43,590 | \$41,912 | -4.0\% |
| 2013 | \$42,599 | \$41,912 | -1.6\% |
| 2014 | \$44,507 | \$43,576 | -2.1\% |
| 2015 | \$47,134 | \$44,554 | -5.8\% |
| 2016 | \$48,979 | \$44,803 | -9.3\% |
| 2017 | \$49,588 | \$45,324 | -9.4\% |
| 2018 | \$46,867 | \$45,906 | -2.1\% |
| 2019 | \$49,270 | \$48,298 | -2.0\% |
| 2020 | \$52,863 | \$50,502 | -4.6\% |

Appendix D - Classified Service Salary Structure

| ARCHITECT CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |  |
| AA | $\$ 51,359$ | $\$ 66,767$ | $\$ 82,174$ | $60 \%$ |  |
| AB | $\$ 58,406$ | $\$ 75,928$ | $\$ 93,450$ | $60 \%$ |  |
| AC | $\$ 78,399$ | $\$ 101,919$ | $\$ 125,438$ | $60 \%$ |  |


| ATTORNEY SALARY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| LE | $\$ 51,860$ | $\$ 67,418$ | $\$ 82,976$ | $60 \%$ |
| LF | $\$ 56,041$ | $\$ 72,853$ | $\$ 89,666$ | $60 \%$ |
| LG | $\$ 60,738$ | $\$ 78,959$ | $\$ 97,181$ | $60 \%$ |
| LH | $\$ 66,338$ | $\$ 86,240$ | $\$ 106,141$ | $60 \%$ |
| LI | $\$ 71,084$ | $\$ 92,409$ | $\$ 113,734$ | $60 \%$ |
| LJ | $\$ 75,862$ | $\$ 98,620$ | $\$ 121,379$ | $60 \%$ |
| LK | $\$ 83,158$ | $\$ 108,105$ | $\$ 133,053$ | $60 \%$ |

CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 25 | $\$ 16,176$ | $\$ 23,391$ | $\$ 30,605$ | $89 \%$ |
| 30 | $\$ 17,258$ | $\$ 24,770$ | $\$ 32,281$ | $87 \%$ |
| 35 | $\$ 18,711$ | $\$ 26,448$ | $\$ 34,185$ | $83 \%$ |
| 40 | $\$ 20,447$ | $\$ 28,457$ | $\$ 36,467$ | $78 \%$ |
| 45 | $\$ 22,516$ | $\$ 30,847$ | $\$ 39,178$ | $74 \%$ |
| 50 | $\$ 24,980$ | $\$ 34,222$ | $\$ 43,465$ | $74 \%$ |
| 55 | $\$ 27,832$ | $\$ 38,130$ | $\$ 48,428$ | $74 \%$ |
| 60 | $\$ 30,518$ | $\$ 41,809$ | $\$ 53,101$ | $74 \%$ |
| 65 | $\$ 33,720$ | $\$ 46,197$ | $\$ 58,673$ | $74 \%$ |
| 70 | $\$ 37,538$ | $\$ 51,427$ | $\$ 65,316$ | $74 \%$ |
| 75 | $\$ 42,088$ | $\$ 57,660$ | $\$ 73,233$ | $74 \%$ |
| 80 | $\$ 47,510$ | $\$ 65,089$ | $\$ 82,667$ | $74 \%$ |
| 85 | $\$ 53,976$ | $\$ 73,947$ | $\$ 93,918$ | $74 \%$ |
| 90 | $\$ 61,673$ | $\$ 84,492$ | $\$ 107,311$ | $74 \%$ |
| 95 | $\$ 70,869$ | $\$ 97,090$ | $\$ 123,312$ | $74 \%$ |
| 96 | $\$ 81,823$ | $\$ 112,098$ | $\$ 142,372$ | $74 \%$ |
| 97 | $\$ 94,883$ | $\$ 129,990$ | $\$ 165,096$ | $74 \%$ |
| 98 | $\$ 110,436$ | $\$ 151,298$ | $\$ 192,159$ | $74 \%$ |
| 99 | $\$ 207,761$ | $\$ 284,633$ | $\$ 361,504$ | $74 \%$ |


| CORRECTIONS CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| CA | $\$ 30,303$ | $\$ 36,363$ | $\$ 42,424$ | $40 \%$ |
| CB | $\$ 36,223$ | $\$ 43,468$ | $\$ 50,712$ | $40 \%$ |
| CC | $\$ 40,121$ | $\$ 48,145$ | $\$ 56,169$ | $40 \%$ |
| CD | $\$ 44,184$ | $\$ 53,021$ | $\$ 61,858$ | $40 \%$ |
| CE | $\$ 48,450$ | $\$ 58,140$ | $\$ 67,830$ | $40 \%$ |
| CF | $\$ 52,533$ | $\$ 63,039$ | $\$ 73,546$ | $40 \%$ |
| CG | $\$ 56,008$ | $\$ 67,209$ | $\$ 78,411$ | $40 \%$ |
| CH | $\$ 61,156$ | $\$ 73,387$ | $\$ 85,618$ | $40 \%$ |
| CI | $\$ 65,587$ | $\$ 78,704$ | $\$ 91,822$ | $40 \%$ |
| CJ | $\$ 70,129$ | $\$ 84,155$ | $\$ 98,181$ | $40 \%$ |
| CK | $\$ 77,429$ | $\$ 92,915$ | $\$ 108,401$ | $40 \%$ |
| CL | $\$ 86,310$ | $\$ 103,572$ | $\$ 120,834$ | $40 \%$ |
| CM | $\$ 96,497$ | $\$ 115,796$ | $\$ 135,096$ | $40 \%$ |

ENGINEER, SURVEYOR AND WATER RESOURCE CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EA | $\$ 28,405$ | $\$ 36,926$ | $\$ 45,448$ | $60 \%$ |
| EB | $\$ 31,516$ | $\$ 40,971$ | $\$ 50,426$ | $60 \%$ |
| EC | $\$ 35,435$ | $\$ 46,066$ | $\$ 56,696$ | $60 \%$ |
| ED | $\$ 40,402$ | $\$ 52,522$ | $\$ 64,643$ | $60 \%$ |
| EE | $\$ 47,199$ | $\$ 61,359$ | $\$ 75,518$ | $60 \%$ |
| EF | $\$ 56,792$ | $\$ 73,830$ | $\$ 90,867$ | $60 \%$ |
| EG | $\$ 63,198$ | $\$ 82,158$ | $\$ 101,117$ | $60 \%$ |
| EH | $\$ 70,155$ | $\$ 91,201$ | $\$ 112,248$ | $60 \%$ |
| EI | $\$ 77,875$ | $\$ 101,238$ | $\$ 124,600$ | $60 \%$ |
| EJ | $\$ 84,881$ | $\$ 110,345$ | $\$ 135,810$ | $60 \%$ |
| EK | $\$ 92,518$ | $\$ 120,274$ | $\$ 148,029$ | $60 \%$ |

## IT CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IA | $\$ 30,754$ | $\$ 41,057$ | $\$ 51,359$ | $67 \%$ |
| IB | $\$ 35,894$ | $\$ 47,919$ | $\$ 59,943$ | $67 \%$ |
| IC | $\$ 42,276$ | $\$ 56,438$ | $\$ 70,601$ | $67 \%$ |
| ID | $\$ 47,622$ | $\$ 63,576$ | $\$ 79,529$ | $67 \%$ |
| IE | $\$ 53,327$ | $\$ 71,191$ | $\$ 89,056$ | $67 \%$ |
| IF | $\$ 60,351$ | $\$ 80,568$ | $\$ 100,786$ | $67 \%$ |

## IT CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IG | $\$ 70,551$ | $\$ 94,186$ | $\$ 117,820$ | $67 \%$ |
| IH | $\$ 82,736$ | $\$ 110,453$ | $\$ 138,169$ | $67 \%$ |
| II | $\$ 91,098$ | $\$ 121,616$ | $\$ 152,134$ | $67 \%$ |
| IJ | $\$ 101,063$ | $\$ 134,919$ | $\$ 168,775$ | $67 \%$ |
| IK | $\$ 112,957$ | $\$ 150,797$ | $\$ 188,638$ | $67 \%$ |


| SOCIAL SERVICES SALARY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| SD | $\$ 34,827$ | $\$ 45,275$ | $\$ 55,723$ | $60 \%$ |
| SE | $\$ 39,537$ | $\$ 51,398$ | $\$ 63,259$ | $60 \%$ |
| SF | $\$ 44,445$ | $\$ 57,779$ | $\$ 71,112$ | $60 \%$ |
| SG | $\$ 51,867$ | $\$ 67,427$ | $\$ 82,987$ | $60 \%$ |
| SH | $\$ 61,935$ | $\$ 80,515$ | $\$ 99,096$ | $60 \%$ |
| SI | $\$ 68,523$ | $\$ 89,080$ | $\$ 109,637$ | $60 \%$ |

Appendix E - Average Salary Data by Agency

| Agency | Average Annual Rate | Average Compa-Ratio | Employee Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livestock Board | \$45,824 | 95\% | 66 |
| New Mexico Corrections Dept | \$45,950 | 95\% | 1,892 |
| Dept of Workforce Solutions | \$43,964 | 95\% | 442 |
| Ofc of the State Engineer | \$64,889 | 96\% | 254 |
| Human Services Department | \$47,635 | 97\% | 1,646 |
| Adult Parole Board | \$41,552 | 98\% | 4 |
| State Auditor | \$62,412 | 99\% | 24 |
| Miners Colfax Medical Center | \$68,459 | 100\% | 210 |
| Governor's Comm. on Disability | \$54,875 | 100\% | 11 |
| Aging \& Long-Term Services Dept | \$53,920 | 101\% | 184 |
| Commission of Public Records | \$51,773 | 101\% | 27 |
| Office of African Amer Affairs | \$44,738 | 101\% | 4 |
| Dept of Information Technology | \$70,148 | 102\% | 131 |
| Office of Natural Resc Trustee | \$75,382 | 102\% | 3 |
| Commission for the Blind | \$45,856 | 102\% | 53 |
| Prof Engneers \& Lnd Srvyrs Brd | \$47,551 | 103\% | 5 |
| Workers Compensation Admin | \$48,933 | 103\% | 100 |
| Dev Disabilities Plan Council | \$48,497 | 103\% | 17 |
| Public Regulation Commission | \$58,785 | 104\% | 115 |
| Enrgy, Minrls \& Ntrl Rsrcs Dept | \$52,148 | 104\% | 375 |
| Children, Youth \& Families Dept | \$52,029 | 104\% | 1,720 |
| Youth Conservation Corps | \$61,829 | 105\% | 2 |
| Com for Deaf/Hard of Hearing | \$49,764 | 105\% | 11 |
| Department of Cultural Affairs | \$45,550 | 105\% | 412 |
| Taxation \& Revenue Department | \$47,594 | 105\% | 806 |
| Tourism Department | \$49,736 | 105\% | 41 |
| Department of Transportation | \$49,142 | 105\% | 2,099 |
| Crime Victims Reparation Comm | \$54,183 | 106\% | 21 |
| Department of Environment | \$61,143 | 106\% | 510 |
| Administrative Hearings Office | \$74,129 | 106\% | 14 |
| Department of Veteran Services | \$47,658 | 107\% | 50 |
| Border Development Authority | \$51,873 | 107\% | 2 |
| Public Education Department | \$65,852 | 107\% | 221 |
| Department of Ethics | \$61,685 | 107\% | 1 |
| Department of Early Childhood | \$49,908 | 107\% | 220 |
| Economic Development Department | \$60,723 | 107\% | 37 |
| Department of Health | \$49,542 | 107\% | 3,168 |


| Department of Public Safety | \$48,161 | 107\% | 357 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board of Nursing | \$53,282 | 108\% | 21 |
| Veterinary Examiners Board | \$30,125 | 108\% | 2 |
| State Investment Council | \$77,690 | 108\% | 15 |
| Dept of Vocational Rehab. | \$51,464 | 108\% | 248 |
| Secretary of State | \$52,350 | 109\% | 38 |
| Regulation \& Licensing Dept | \$54,462 | 109\% | 245 |
| Retiree Health Care Authority | \$52,856 | 109\% | 20 |
| Educational Retirement Board | \$55,933 | 110\% | 51 |
| Military Affairs | \$46,723 | 110\% | 114 |
| Superintendent of Insurance | \$62,872 | 110\% | 73 |
| State Land Office | \$61,268 | 110\% | 146 |
| Department of Finance \& Admnst | \$64,847 | 111\% | 110 |
| EXPO New Mexico | \$55,467 | 111\% | 14 |
| Architect Examiners Board | \$43,018 | 111\% | 3 |
| SpacePort Authority | \$72,021 | 111\% | 16 |
| State Racing Commission | \$57,690 | 112\% | 7 |
| Medical Examiners Board | \$51,747 | 112\% | 14 |
| General Services Department | \$51,071 | 112\% | 247 |
| Department of Game \& Fish | \$55,651 | 112\% | 274 |
| Public Employee Retirement Assoc | \$58,453 | 112\% | 68 |
| Higher Education Department | \$68,692 | 114\% | 31 |
| State Treasurer | \$73,284 | 114\% | 20 |
| Gaming Control Board | \$57,336 | 114\% | 38 |
| Homeland Security \& Emgncy Mgt | \$58,325 | 115\% | 47 |
| NM Education Trust Board | \$66,517 | 115\% | 1 |
| State Personnel Board | \$67,448 | 116\% | 25 |
| Department of Indian Affairs | \$59,720 | 116\% | 9 |
| Public School Insurance Auth | \$61,704 | 120\% | 7 |
| Grand Total | \$50,903 | 103\% | 17,159 |

Appendix F - Alternative Pay Band Assignments

| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2011A | ACTUARY-A | 75 | 70 |
| D2011B | ACTUARY-B | 65 | 60 |
| D20110 | ACTUARY-O | 70 | 65 |
| X40100 | ADMIN/OPS I - DENTAL | 95 | 80 |
| X40200 | ADMIN/OPS I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 85 | 80 |
| X40250 | ADMIN/OPS I-FORENSIC SCIENCE | 90 | 80 |
| X40300 | ADMIN/OPS I- HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 95 | 80 |
| X40700 | ADMIN/OPS I-MTD/SID | 90 | 80 |
| X40400 | ADMIN/OPS I-NURSING | 90 | 80 |
| X40450 | ADMIN/OPS I-NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 85 | 80 |
| X40500 | ADMIN/OPS I- OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 80 |
| X40550 | ADMIN/OPS I - PHARMACY | 96 | 80 |
| X40650 | ADMIN/OPS I - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 80 |
| X40600 | ADMIN/OPS I-PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 80 |
| X50100 | ADMIN/OPS II - DENTAL | 95 | 85 |
| X50200 | ADMIN/OPS II - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 90 | 85 |
| X50250 | ADMIN/OPS II - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 95 | 85 |
| X50300 | ADMIN/OPS II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 96 | 85 |
| X50700 | ADMIN/OPS II - MTD/SID | 95 | 85 |
| X50400 | ADMIN/OPS II - NURSING | 95 | 85 |
| X50500 | ADMIN/OPS II - OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 85 |
| X50550 | ADMIN/OPS II - PHARMACY | 97 | 85 |
| X50650 | ADMIN/OPS II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 85 |
| X50600 | ADMIN/OPS II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 85 |
| X52012 | ADMIN/OPS II - STATE AUDIT | 90 | 85 |
| U3011A | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-A | 75 | 55 |
| U3011B | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-B | 65 | 45 |
| U30110 | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-O | 70 | 50 |
| W20111 | AIRCRAFT PILOT | 80 | 70 |
| K10802 | CERTIFIED NURSE MIDWIFE | 90 | 70 |
| K10801 | CERTIFIED NURSE PRACTITIONER | 90 | 70 |
| C20100 | CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT | 85 | 80 |
| C3900 | CHIEF FINANCIAL ACCOUNTANT | 85 | 80 |
| G10501 | CHILD SUPPORT LEGAL ASSISTANT 1 | 60 | 55 |
| G10502 | CHILD SUPPORT LEGAL ASSISTANT 2 | 65 | 60 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E3022A | CIVIL ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN-NL-A | 60 | 55 |
| E30220 | CIVIL ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN-NL-O | 55 | 50 |
| K10803 | CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST | 90 | 70 |
| K10661 | CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST I | 85 | 75 |
| K10662 | CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST II | 90 | 80 |
| T4011S | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR AREA CHIEF | 70 | 65 |
| T40112 | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR MULTI CERT | 65 | 60 |
| T40111 | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR SINGLE CERT | 60 | 55 |
| T4011B | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-1 | 55 | 50 |
| T40110 | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-2 | 60 | 55 |
| T4011A | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-3 | 65 | 60 |
| 190311 | COORDINATOR - CLASSROOM TECH | 70 | 65 |
| L9091A | DENTAL ASSISTANT-A | 50 | 35 |
| L9091B | DENTAL ASSISTANT-B | 40 | 25 |
| 190910 | DENTAL ASSISTANT-O | 45 | 30 |
| K2021A | DENTAL HYGIENIST-A | 70 | 55 |
| K2021B | DENTAL HYGIENIST-B | 60 | 45 |
| K20210 | DENTAL HYGIENIST-O | 65 | 50 |
| K1021S | DENTIST, GENERAL SUPV | 95 | 85 |
| K1021A | DENTIST, GENERAL-A | 90 | 80 |
| K1021B | DENTIST, GENERAL-B | 80 | 70 |
| K10210 | DENTIST, GENERAL-O | 85 | 75 |
| X40251 | DEPUTY FORENSIC TOXICOLOGY BUR CHIEF | 90 | 80 |
| X60400 | DIRECTOR OF NURSING | 95 | 90 |
| X45033 | DPS EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER | 90 | 80 |
| Q20102 | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM COORD | 80 | 70 |
| Q20101 | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REPRESENTATIVE | 75 | 65 |
| F3011S | ECONOMIST SUPV | 85 | 75 |
| F3011A | ECONOMIST-A | 80 | 70 |
| F3011B | ECONOMIST-B | 70 | 60 |
| F30110 | ECONOMIST-O | 75 | 65 |
| B9039S | EDUCATION ADMINISTRATOR SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| B9039A | EDUCATION ADMINISTRATOR-A | 80 | 75 |
| T2111A | ELECTRICIAN-A | 55 | 50 |
| T2111B | ELECTRICIAN-B | 45 | 40 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M40101 | EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST | 65 | 60 |
| E3000S | ENGINEER SPECIALIST, ALL OTHER-NL SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| E3000A | ENGINEER SPECIALIST, ALL OTHER-NL-A | 80 | 75 |
| F2041S | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC SUPV | 80 | 70 |
| F2041A | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-A | 75 | 65 |
| F2041B | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-B | 65 | 55 |
| F20410 | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-O | 70 | 60 |
| X80300 | EXECUTIVE - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 98 | 96 |
| X80550 | EXECUTIVE - PHARMACY | 97 | 96 |
| X80650 | EXECUTIVE - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 96 |
| X80600 | EXECUTIVE - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 96 |
| K1062S | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER SUPV | 98 | 90 |
| K1062A | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-A | 97 | 85 |
| K1062B | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-B | 95 | 75 |
| K10620 | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-O | 96 | 80 |
| G10601 | FAMILY ASSISTANCE ANALYST 1 | 60 | 55 |
| G10602 | FAMILY ASSISTANCE ANALYST 2 | 65 | 60 |
| C2061S | FINANCIAL EXAMINER SUPERVISOR | 75 | 70 |
| C2061A | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-A | 70 | 65 |
| C2061B | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-B | 60 | 55 |
| C20610 | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-O | 65 | 60 |
| F40920 | FORENSIC SCIENTIST 1 | 75 | 55 |
| F4092A | FORENSIC SCIENTIST 2 | 80 | 60 |
| F4092S | FORENSIC SCIENTIST SUPERVISOR | 85 | 65 |
| X50251 | FORENSIC TOXICOLOGY BUREAU CHIEF | 95 | 85 |
| C20211 | GEN CERT REAL ESTATE APPRAISER \& ADVISOR | 80 | 70 |
| X60100 | GENERAL I - DENTAL | 95 | 90 |
| X60200 | GENERAL I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 95 | 90 |
| X60250 | GENERAL I - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 96 | 90 |
| X60300 | GENERALI - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 97 | 90 |
| X60700 | GENERALI - MTD/SID | 95 | 90 |
| X60500 | GENERALI- OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 90 |
| X60550 | GENERALI - PHARMACY | 97 | 90 |
| X60650 | GENERAL I - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 90 |
| X60600 | GENERAL I - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 90 |
| X70300 | GENERAL II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 98 | 95 |
| X70550 | GENERAL II - PHARMACY | 97 | 95 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X70650 | GENERAL II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 95 |
| X70600 | GENERAL II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 95 |
| F2042S | GEOSCIENTIST,XCPT HYDROLOGIST \& GEOGRAPHER SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| F2042A | GEOSCIENTIST,XCPT HYDROLOGIST \& GEOGRAPHER-A | 75 | 70 |
| F2042B | GEOSCIENTIST,XCPT HYDROLOGST \& GEOGRAPHER-B | 65 | 60 |
| F20420 | GEOSCIENTIST,XCPT HYDROLOGIST \& GEOGRAPHER-O | 70 | 65 |
| E2111S | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| E2111A | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-A | 70 | 65 |
| E2111B | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-B | 60 | 55 |
| E21110 | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-O | 65 | 60 |
| U9021S | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| U9021A | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-A | 55 | 50 |
| U9021B | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-B | 45 | 40 |
| U90210 | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-O | 50 | 45 |
| T4051S | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| T4051A | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-A | 55 | 50 |
| T4051B | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-B | 45 | 40 |
| T40510 | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-O | 50 | 45 |
| M40102 | HOMELAND SECURITY SPECIALIST | 70 | 65 |
| G10701 | HSD QUALITY ASSURANCE SPECIALIST | 70 | 65 |
| G1070S | HSD QUALITY ASSURANCE SPECIALIST SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| F2043S | HYDROLOGIST SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| F2043A | HYDROLOGIST-A | 75 | 70 |
| F2043B | HYDROLOGIST-B | 65 | 60 |
| F20430 | HYDROLOGIST-O | 70 | 65 |
| X30795 | IT COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER - DHSEM | 85 | 75 |
| G10941 | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 1 | 65 | 60 |
| G10942 | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 2 | 70 | 65 |
| G1094S | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| C10791 | LABOR RELATIONS ADMINISTRATOR | 75 | 70 |
| 14031A | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-A | 50 | 45 |
| 14031B | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-B | 40 | 35 |
| 140310 | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-O | 45 | 40 |
| 14021A | LIBRARIAN-A | 70 | 65 |
| 14021B | LIBRARIAN-B | 60 | 55 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140210 | LIBRARIAN-O | 65 | 60 |
| 14021S | LIBRARIAN-SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| R4121A | LIBRARY ASSISTANT, CLERICAL-A | 35 | 30 |
| R 41210 | LIBRARY ASSISTANT, CLERICAL-O | 30 | 25 |
| K2061S | LICENSED PRACTICAL \& LICENSED VOC NRS SUPV | 65 | 55 |
| K2061A | LICENSED PRACTICAL \& LICENSED VOC NRS-A | 60 | 50 |
| K2061B | LICENSED PRACTICAL \& LICENSED VOC NRS-B | 50 | 40 |
| K20610 | LICENSED PRACTICAL \& LICENSED VOC NRS-O | 55 | 45 |
| X10100 | LINE I - DENTAL | 95 | 65 |
| X10125 | LINE I-ECONOMICS | 70 | 65 |
| X10150 | LINE I-ENGINEERING | 70 | 65 |
| X10200 | LINE I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 70 | 65 |
| X10250 | LINE I - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 80 | 65 |
| X10300 | LINE I - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 80 | 65 |
| X10700 | LINE I - MTD/SID | 75 | 65 |
| X10400 | LINE I-NURSING | 80 | 65 |
| X10450 | LINE I- NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 70 | 65 |
| X10500 | LINE I - OT/PT/SLP | 80 | 65 |
| X10550 | LINE I - PHARMACY | 85 | 65 |
| X10650 | LINE I - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 65 |
| X10600 | LINE I - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 65 |
| X20100 | LINE II - DENTAL | 95 | 70 |
| X20125 | LINE II - ECONOMICS | 75 | 70 |
| X20150 | LINE II - ENGINEERING | 75 | 70 |
| X20200 | LINE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 75 | 70 |
| X20250 | LINE II - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 80 | 70 |
| X20300 | LINE II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 85 | 70 |
| X20700 | LINE II - MTD/SID | 80 | 70 |
| X20400 | LINE II - NURSING | 85 | 70 |
| X20450 | LINE II - NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 75 | 70 |
| X20500 | LINE II - OT/PT/SLP | 85 | 70 |
| X20550 | LINE II - PHARMACY | 90 | 70 |
| X20650 | LINE II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 70 |
| X20600 | LINE II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 70 |
| S20101 | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR 1 | 60 | 55 |
| S20102 | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR 2 | 65 | 60 |
| S2010S | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR SUPV | 70 | 65 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K20811 | MEDICAL CLAIMS CODER | 60 | 45 |
| E2152S | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| E2152A | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-A | 75 | 70 |
| E2152B | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-B | 65 | 60 |
| E21520 | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-O | 70 | 65 |
| J3052 | MUS PRESS ART MGR | 70 | 60 |
| J3053 | MUS PRESS EDITOR MGR | 70 | 60 |
| J3054 | MUS PRESS MKTG MGR | 70 | 60 |
| X30514 | MUSEUM PUBLICATION DIR | 80 | 75 |
| R4032S | MVD STAFF ADMINISTRATOR | 70 | 65 |
| L1012S | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT SUPV | 45 | 55 |
| L1012A | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-A | 40 | 50 |
| L1012B | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-B | 30 | 40 |
| L10120 | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-O | 35 | 45 |
| K1122S | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1122A | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1122B | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-B | 70 | 55 |
| K11220 | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-O | 75 | 60 |
| D2031A | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-A | 70 | 65 |
| D2031B | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-B | 60 | 55 |
| D20310 | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-O | 65 | 60 |
| E2171S | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| E2171A | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-A | 80 | 75 |
| E2171B | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-B | 70 | 65 |
| E21710 | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-O | 75 | 70 |
| K1051S | PHARMACIST SUPV | 95 | 75 |
| K1051A | PHARMACIST-A | 90 | 70 |
| K1051B | PHARMACIST-B | 80 | 60 |
| K10510 | PHARMACIST-O | 85 | 65 |
| K1123S | PHYSICAL THERAPIST SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1123A | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1123B | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-B | 70 | 55 |
| K11230 | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-O | 75 | 60 |
| K10621 | PHYSICIAN | 99 | 80 |
| K10701 | PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT | 85 | 70 |
| K1070S | PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT SUPV | 90 | 75 |
| X61062 | PHYSICIAN MANAGER | 99 | 90 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2152S | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| T2152A | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-A | 55 | 50 |
| T2152B | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-B | 45 | 40 |
| T21520 | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-O | 50 | 45 |
| M3051S | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| M3051A | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-A | 75 | 65 |
| M3051B | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-B | 65 | 55 |
| M30510 | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-O | 70 | 60 |
| C9003 | PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND POLICY ANALYST | 85 | 80 |
| G10901 | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 1 | 65 | 60 |
| G10902 | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 2 | 70 | 65 |
| G1090S | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| C11111 | PROCESS IMPROVEMENT ANALYST | 85 | 70 |
| C10323 | PROPERTY \& CASUALTY ADJUSTER I | 70 | 60 |
| C10324 | PROPERTY \& CASUALTY ADJUSTER II | 75 | 65 |
| K1066S | PSYCHIATRIST SUPV | 98 | 90 |
| K1066A | PSYCHIATRIST-A | 97 | 85 |
| K1066B | PSYCHIATRIST-B | 95 | 75 |
| K10660 | PSYCHIATRIST-O | 96 | 80 |
| H10112 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 2 | 75 | 70 |
| H10113 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 3 | 80 | 75 |
| H10114 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 4 | 85 | 80 |
| F30111 | PUBLIC UTILITIES ECONOMIST | 80 | 70 |
| E30611 | PUBLIC UTILITIES ENGINEER | 85 | 75 |
| K2034S | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN SUPV | 65 | 60 |
| K2034A | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN-A | 60 | 55 |
| K2034B | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN-B | 50 | 45 |
| K20340 | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN-O | 55 | 50 |
| K1111S | REGISTERED NURSE SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1111A | REGISTERED NURSE-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1111B | REGISTERED NURSE-B | 70 | 55 |
| K11110 | REGISTERED NURSE-O | 75 | 60 |
| K1126A | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-A | 60 | 50 |
| K1126B | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-B | 50 | 40 |
| K11260 | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-O | 55 | 45 |
| C20501 | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, LEVEL 1 | 55 | 50 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C20502 | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, LEVEL 2 | 60 | 55 |
| C2050S | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, SUPERVISOR | 65 | 60 |
| Q3031S | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS SUPV | 96 | 75 |
| Q3031A | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-A | 95 | 70 |
| Q3031B | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-B | 85 | 60 |
| Q30310 | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-O | 90 | 65 |
| M9032S | SECURITY GUARD SUPV | 50 | 40 |
| M9032A | SECURITY GUARD-A | 45 | 35 |
| M9032B | SECURITY GUARD-B | 35 | 25 |
| M90320 | SECURITY GUARD-O | 40 | 30 |
| 13025 | SIGNED LANGUAGE INTERPRETER | 75 | 70 |
| E40495 | SPACEPORT AEROSPACE ENGINEER | 90 | 75 |
| Q40401 | SPACEPORT AMERICA SALES AGENT | 80 | 65 |
| W20495 | SPACEPORT FLIGHT CONTROL SPECIALIST | 80 | 65 |
| X40495 | SPACEPORT OPERATIONS MANAGER | 85 | 80 |
| K1127A | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-A | 75 | 65 |
| K1127B | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-B | 65 | 55 |
| K11270 | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-O | 70 | 60 |
| X30100 | STAFF - DENTAL | 95 | 75 |
| X30125 | STAFF - ECONOMICS | 80 | 75 |
| X30200 | STAFF - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 80 | 75 |
| X30250 | STAFF - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 85 | 75 |
| X30300 | STAFF - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 90 | 75 |
| X30700 | STAFF - MTD/SID | 85 | 75 |
| X30400 | STAFF - NURSING | 85 | 75 |
| X30450 | STAFF - NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 80 | 75 |
| X30500 | STAFF - OT/PT/SLP | 90 | 75 |
| X30550 | STAFF - PHARMACY | 95 | 75 |
| X30650 | STAFF - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 75 |
| X30600 | STAFF - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 75 |
| C20123 | STATE AUDIT AUDITOR COORDINATOR | 85 | 75 |
| C20121 | STATE AUDITOR I | 65 | 60 |
| C20122 | STATE AUDITOR II | 70 | 65 |
| X70250 | STATE SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY DIRECTOR | 96 | 90 |
| M33011 | STIU INVESTIGATOR | 70 | 65 |
| C20131 | TAX AUDITOR I | 60 | 55 |
| C20132 | TAX AUDITOR II | 65 | 60 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band <br> Pay Band |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| C20133 | TAX AUDITOR III | 70 |
| C20134 | TAX AUDITOR IV | 75 |
| C2013S | TAX AUDITOR SUPV | 70 |
| K1131A | VETERINARIAN-A | 70 |
| K1131B | VETERINARIAN-B | 75 |
| K11310 | VETERINARIAN-O | 75 |
| B2033 | WILDFIRE PREVENTION \& CONSERVATION COORD | 80 |
| C10321 | WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADJUSTER I | 70 |
| C10322 | WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADJUSTER II | 70 |

## Appendix G - General Classification Framework

| Architecture, Engineering, and Surveying |
| :---: |
| - Architecture |
| - Engineering |
| - Engineering, Geological and Surveying Technical |
| - Surveying |
| Business and Financial Occupations |
| - Accounting |
| - Actuary |
| - Auditing |
| - Budget |
| - Business Operations |
| - Claims |
| - Compliance |
| - Economic Development |
| - Finance |
| - Process Analysis |
| - Public Relations |
| - Purchasing |
| - Real Estate Appraisal and Assessment |
| - Statistics |
| - Tax |
| Community and Social Services |
| - Child Protective Services |
| - Clergy |
| - Eligibility Determination |
| - Health Education |
| - Health Services Quality Assurance |
| - Social and Community Services |
| - Social Work |
| Education and Training |
| - Criminal Justice and Law Enforcement Education |
| - Education Administration |
| - Instructional Coordination |
| - Non-Vocational Education and Training |
| Cultural Affairs |
| - Conservation |
| - Curator |
| - Exhibition |
| - History |
| - Libraries |
| - Media |
| Grounds Keeping and Cleaning |
| - Groundskeeping |


| - Janitorial |
| :---: |
| Healthcare |
| - Dentistry |
| - Dietetics and Nutrition |
| - Mid-level Practitioners |
| - Nursing |
| - Patient Care Services |
| - Pharmacy |
| - Physicians |
| - Veterinarians |
| - Dental Assistant |
| - Health and Safety |
| - Health Aide, Therapist |
| - Medical Records and Health Information |
| - Health Technologist/Technician |
| - Nursing Support |
| Information Technology |
| - IT Applications Development |
| - IT Project Management |
| - IT Data Base Administration |
| - IT Systems Administration |
| - IT End User Support |
| - IT Security and Compliance |
| - IT Quality Assurance |
| - IT Network Administration |
| - IT Architecture and Design |
| - IT CIO / Management |
| Skilled Trades and Labor |
| - Barbers |
| - Building Inspection |
| - Construction |
| - Electricians |
| - Farming |
| - Food Preparation |
| - Heavy Equipment, Aircraft and General Mechanics |
| - Heavy Equipment Operation |
| - Inspection, Testing, Sorting |
| - Laundry Services |
| - Plant and Systems Operations |
| - Plumbing |
| - Skilled Production and Manufacturing |
| - Woodworking |
| Legal |
| - Administrative Law and Hearings Services |
| - Arbitration, Mediation and Conciliatory Services |
| - Lawyer |


| - Legal Support |
| :---: |
| - Paralegal |
| - Public Defense |
| - Title Examination, Abstraction and Investigation |
| Office and Administrative Support |
| - Customer Service |
| - Office and Administrative Support |
| - State Government Interns and Aides |
| - Secretary |
| - Storekeeping |
| Corrections |
| - Correctional Officers |
| - Correctional Officer Specialists |
| - Correctional Managers |
| Public Safety and Security |
| - Detective and Criminal Investigations |
| - Dispatch |
| - Emergency Management |
| - Fire Inspection and Investigation |
| - Game and Fish Wardens |
| - Forensic Science |
| - Homeland Security |
| - Livestock Inspection |
| - Police and Sheriff |
| - Probation |
| - Recreational Protective Services |
| - Security |
| - Transportation Inspection |
| Human Resources |
| - Classification \& Compensation |
| - HR Process Analyst |
| - Labor Relations |
| - Recruitment |
| - Training |
| Transportation and Materials Moving |
| - Flight Control |
| - Highway Maintenance |
| - School Bus Transportation |
| - Traffic Technician |
| - Transportation Inspection |
| - Airplane Pilot |
| Life and Physical Sciences and Technical |
| - Anthropology and Archeology |
| - Chemistry |
| - Economics |
| - Environmental Science |


|  | $\bullet$ Epidemiology |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\bullet$ Geology |
|  | $\bullet$ Healthcare Surveyor |
|  | $\bullet$ Natural Sciences |
|  | $\bullet$ Petroleum Specialist |
|  | $\bullet$ Physical Science |
|  | Zoologist and Wildlife Biology |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NMAC 1.7.4.8(E).
    ${ }^{2}$ NMSA 1978 § 10-9-2.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Education employees participate in a separate plan.

[^2]:    4 "Compa-ratio" means pay expressed as a percentage of the midpoint of a pay band. NMAC 1.7.1.7(K).

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ NMSA 1978 § 10-9-13(F).

