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## Executive Summary

The classified service workforce consists of over 17,000 employees within 67 State of New Mexico (State) executive agencies, boards, and commissions. The State recognizes that its employees are its most valuable asset and that these employees are critical to providing services to all New Mexicans. Employment with State government represents a career in public service, and an opportunity to deliver excellence, accountability, and efficiency throughout New Mexico.

Both private and public employers seek to attract and retain qualified and dedicated employees to transform strategy into success. Accordingly, it is critical to have a sound compensation program that is externally competitive. The State continues to experience pressure as private and other public sector organizations compete for the same workers that the State is trying to attract and retain. Toward that end, the State Personnel Office has developed seven occupation-based pay lines to address market pricing issues -- IT, Engineering, Architecture, Attorneys, Social Services, Healthcare and Corrections -- all of which have been approved by the State Personnel Board and are currently in use by agencies.

On March 11, 2020, Governor Michelle Lujan Grisham issued Executive Order 2020-004, Order Declaring a State of Public Health Emergency and Invoking the Powers Provided by the All-Hazard Emergency Management Act and the Emergency Licensing Act, declaring a public health emergency due to the spread of COVID-19 in New Mexico. The COVID-19 pandemic continues to present an unprecedented challenge to New Mexico, and the repercussions will impact many facets of State government and present new challenges in multiple areas, including, but not limited to, hiring, retention, and market competitiveness.

SPO strives to address these challenges with thoughtful flexibility.

## Purpose of Plan and Report

The State Personnel Board (SPB) Rules require the SPB to annually review and adopt (1) a pay plan describing the SPB's compensation philosophy and how consistent application of that philosophy is achieved, and (2) a compensation report providing a summary of the status of the classified pay system and the results of the State's annual compensation survey, including total compensation. The SPB is also required to submit the compensation report to the Governor and the Legislative Finance Committee. ${ }^{1}$ This document serves as both the official plan and report.

The compensation report conveys economic pay trends, findings, and data derived from the compensation and benefits surveys compiled by the National Compensation Association of State Governments, local public bodies such as county and city governments, and national subscription survey data and analyzed by the State Personnel Office (SPO). This data is analyzed to illustrate the salary ranges, rates, average salaries, and benefits for benchmark classifications identified in the regional, eight state labor market in which our State classified service competes as an employer of choice ("Comparator Market"). The report also summarizes key findings and comparative data showing the relationship of the State's wages and compensation programs to the Comparator Market. Additionally, it presents data on State employee demographics,

[^0]the use of available pay mechanisms, and industry-accepted workforce metrics for the enhancement of the classified service pay system.

## Compensation Philosophy and Consistent Application

## Personnel Act

The Personnel Act requires New Mexico to establish and maintain a system of personnel administration for classified employees based solely on employee qualifications and abilities that provides greater economy and efficiency in the management of State affairs. ${ }^{2}$

## Compensation Philosophy

The Compensation System (salary and benefits) for classified State government employees will be structured to recruit, retain, and motivate a quality workforce to support the mission of State Government and provide a high level of efficient, effective, and responsive services meeting the needs of New Mexicans.

## Consistent Application

To ensure consistent application of this philosophy, SPO:

- Relies on an established job evaluation methodology to consistently value classified positions based on job size and market value analysis.
- Utilizes appropriate placement analysis to consistently identify equitable pay rates for classified workers based on experience and qualifications.
- Applies SPB Rules consistently, to ensure fair and equitable compensation practices throughout the classified service.


## Job Evaluation Methodology

SPO uses the Hay Guide Chart-Profile Method of Job Evaluation to measure the relative size and value of all classified service jobs. This process quantifies the size and value of job content by using a point system to evaluate four job factors: know-how (the skill needed for acceptable job performance), problem solving (the thinking required for the job), accountability (the job's impact on the end results of the organization), and additional compensable elements (working conditions). The total points assigned to a job through this evaluation process are then used to assign the job to the

[^1]appropriate pay band. SPO's continuous application of the Hay Method over decades has created consistency in the State's job evaluation process and maintains the internal equity of the State Compensation System.

## Appropriate Placement

SPB Rules require that an employee's rate of pay upon hire, in pay band adjustment, promotion, or transfer within the classified service reflect "appropriate placement" within the pay band. ${ }^{3}$ In trying to appropriately place an employee, State agencies and managers must consider certain factors, including the employee's education, experience, training, certification, and licensure; internal pay equity between the employee and co-workers in the same classification; and budget availability. By diligently performing this appropriate placement analysis, agencies maintain consistency in pay within their organizations.

## Pay Mechanisms Requiring State Personnel Director Review

SPB Rules also require State Personnel Director approval when rates of pay or changes to pay exceed certain parameters. For example, Director approval is required before an agency can: offer a prospective employee an entrance salary in the principal contributor zone of the pay band (above 114\% Compa-ratio), grant an employee an in pay band adjustment, promote an employee with a salary increase of less than $5 \%$ or more than $15 \%$, demote an employee with a salary reduction of more than $15 \%$, or transfer an employee with a salary increase of more than $10 \%{ }^{4}$ This allow SPO to stay apprised of pay trends within individual State agencies. It also enables SPO to ensure a level of consistency across the State pay system, which otherwise might be skewed by the differing levels of funding available to different agencies.

## Classified Employee Pay Compared to Market

The State strives to pay a competitive public sector salary, while remaining fiscally responsible, in order to compete with both private and public employers in the Comparator Market as well as the local NM market. Currently, the State's annual classified employee average base salary is $\$ 52,832$. Unfortunately, the General Salary Structure, the State's outdated compensation model, is behind the Comparator Market in several benchmarks. At every opportunity, however, SPO adjusts its salary structures in concert with merit increases approved by the Legislature in an effort to keep State pay and salary structures as closely aligned with the market as possible. In addition, for the eight newer occupation based salary structures, the Compensation and Classification Team set the pay line midpoints at or above current market, making our pay policy more competitive in these job sectors.

In 2001, the State's general salary schedule pay band width was expanded to $78 \%$ to better enable employees to be promoted in pay, as their skills increased, while moving laterally within the pay band, rather than having to be promoted from one pay band to another. In FY14, SPO narrowed the pay band width to $74 \%$, in an effort to bring the State classified service pay band width closer to the industry standard of $50 \%$. The Administration at that time, working with the Legislature, budgeted vacancies at midpoint rather than at the minimum of the pay band, a practice which continues. In a continuing effort to keep the State's compensation practices in line with industry standards, the recently developed pay lines described above have narrower pay band widths of 67\% (IT), 60\% (Engineering, Architecture, Attorneys, Social Services, Healthcare), and 40\% (Corrections, Healthcare-Physicians).

[^2]The salary structures are the foundation upon which State employees can be appropriately compensated. The next important step is for agency budgets to be funded in support of appropriate compensation.

## Use of Alternative Pay Bands

Currently, over $25 \%$ of the State's job classifications within the General Salary Structure are assigned to Alternative Pay Bands (APBs). The number of APBs is an indicator that the State's classified service General Salary Structure is behind the Comparator Market for many job classifications and continues to require updating.

APBs were originally designed to be used on an exception basis only, to address compensation issues related to recruitment and retention that could not normally be handled within the General Salary Structure. Jobs are evaluated and assigned to pay bands to appropriately capture and maintain internal equity to other similar-sized jobs within the classified service. When external market demand exceeds supply in the labor market, pressure is placed on the State's compensation structure. This market pressure impacts the State's ability to attract and retain well-qualified applicants with market competitive salaries, resulting in the SPB "temporarily" assigning job classifications to higher pay bands. These APBs are reviewed annually, and SPO's Compensation and Classification Division presents recommendations to the SPB to renew or discontinue the temporary APB assignments.

With the implementation of recent occupation-based classification and salary schedules, the State has been able to greatly reduce the number of APBs by creating salary structures that are more responsive to movement in their respective markets. Each occupational group created removes significant numbers of classifications that previously required APBs.

## Compa-Ratios

Compa-ratio is a measure of actual pay relative to the midpoint of the pay range at issue and is an industry standard measurement of compensation. It is expressed as a percentage of the midpoint of the pay band. ${ }^{5}$

When evaluating individual agencies:

- The average Compa-ratio throughout the State ranges from $83 \%$ to $118 \%$.
- 9 executive agencies have an average Compa-ratio of less than $100 \%$; and,
- 16 executive agencies have an average Compa-ratio of over $110 \%$.

This indicates that to compete with the market, in many agencies, the midpoint, or close to the midpoint, has become the entry level for new hires. The lack of significant pay adjustments (market or otherwise) over a long period of time for tenured employees has resulted in significant compaction for those employees who typically have more experience or qualifications than new hires.

[^3]
## Pay Line Changes

SPO recently developed a market-focused classification and compensation system that captures different families of work within occupational pay lines. The intent of this system is to develop and implement pay lines to allow more targeted, well-planned increases to be delivered, easing market tensions, and bringing New Mexico closer to the Comparator Market.

In addition, within the General Salary Structure, the lower pay bands of $25,30,35$ and 40 have been temporarily modified in response to increased minimum wage legislation, with an upward adjustment to the minimum pay rate in each of those pay bands. This will potentially create compaction, particularly where supervisors and their reports occupy the same pay bands. Ultimately, the structure will need to be reengineered to comprehensively accommodate increases in minimum wage.

## Salary Surveys \& Data Sources

## Annual Salary Survey Purpose

Annually, SPO's Compensation and Classification Team participates in salary surveys that assess the State's labor market competitiveness. These surveys compare the State's salary structure (pay bands) and current pay practices (actual pay) with several states in the Comparator Market, as well as with NM local public bodies. Some of these surveys also compare the State's employee benefits (insurance, leave, etc.) to those of the labor market. In addition, SPO's Compensation and Classification Team reviews and analyzes numerous, credible, salary and budget reports to collect nationwide and statewide salary data. (See Appendix A).

These salary and budget reports represent a:

- Large national sample of state employees;
- Variety of job occupations (clerical, administrative, trade, counseling, law enforcement, etc.); and,
- Range of levels in job complexity (measured in job content points).


## National Compensation Association of State Governments Salary Survey

SPO participates annually in a comprehensive salary survey of benchmark job classifications sponsored by the National Compensation Association of State Governments (NCASG). The NCASG's primary objectives are to improve the validity of job matches, to improve the accuracy of data in salary surveys among states, and to reduce the number of individual surveys exchanged among the states on an annual basis.

In 2021, 37 state governments participated in NCASG's annual survey, representing over 970,600 public sector employees. SPO identified job matches for 179 of 189 benchmark classifications in the survey.

## Total Compensation

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics defines total compensation as "the complete reward/recognition package for employees, including all forms of money, benefits, perquisites, services and in-kind payments."

The State of New Mexico provides a competitive employee benefit package that includes: employer-paid medical insurance contributions; pension (retirement) contributions; and paid leave allowances for vacation days, sick days, personal days, and paid holidays. Additionally, State employees may take

| Eight - State Comparator Market Total Compensation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wyoming | \$98,987 |
| Utah | No data |
| Colorado | \$88,369 |
| Oklahoma | \$57,757 |
| New Mexico | \$90,255 |
| Arizona | \$88,851 |
| Texas | No data |
| Kansas | No data |
| Nevada | No data | advantage of a Section 457, Deferred Compensation Plan and make contributions to a tax-deferred savings program that can be used to supplement their retirement plan.

Employer-provided employee benefits remain an important part of the total rewards package in attracting and retaining workers. Table 1 compares average total compensation across the Comparator Market. (Utah, Texas, Kansas, and Nevada did not provide total compensation data.)

## Total Compensation Components

Total compensation for State employees consists not only of the value and cost of the direct salary received, but also includes the value and cost (to both employees and the State) of employee benefits. Total compensation includes employee benefits of health, dental, life, disability, pharmacy, and vision insurance; retirement; deferred compensation; paid leave (annual, sick, personal days, and holiday); and compensatory time.

For the State's classified service, the percentage of total compensation provided in direct salary is approximately $15 \%$ greater than that provided in indirect benefits. Compared to the private sector, the State contributes $10 \%$ more to its employees in both medical and retirement benefits.

Health insurance makes up a significant portion of indirect benefits and is a recruiting and retention incentive for the State. Accordingly, the State should continue its efforts to review and manage its healthcare plan design to improve the overall health and well-being of employees and to recruit and retain employees.

The Public Employee Retirement Association (PERA) offers a defined benefit retirement program for State employees. Currently, the PERA retirement calculation considers both years of service and average highest earnings. The retirement program offered by New Mexico's PERA is considered one of the best retirement programs in the country.

## Total Classified Compensation Calculation

Table 2 provides a breakdown of New Mexico's average total compensation components for classified employees. The 2021 average base salary is $\$ 52,832$. This amount is $58.5 \%$ of total compensation. The remaining employer sponsored indirect components of total compensation (mandated benefits, insurance, and paid time off) is averaged at $\$ 37,423$ or $41.5 \%$ of total compensation, resulting in an average total compensation annual amount of $\$ 90,255$.

Table 2

| Average Base Salary: |  | $\$ 52,832$ | $58.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Employer Sponsored Benefits: |  |  |  |
| FICA/Medicare | $(6.2 \% / 1.45 \%$ of gross salary) | $\$ 4,042$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| PERA | $(18.24 \%$ of gross salary) | $\$ 9,637$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| RHC | (2\% of gross salary) | $\$ 1,057$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Vacation | (120 hours per year) | $\$ 3,048$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Sick | (96 hours per year) | $\$ 2,642$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Holiday | (88 hours per year) | $\$ 2,235$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Insurance | (based on \$50K-\$59.999K salary tier) | $\$ 14,357$ | $15.9 \%$ |
| Personal Days | (2 personal days per year) | $\$ 406$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total Benefits |  | $\$ 37,423$ | $41.5 \%$ |
| Total Compensation (Salary + Benefits): |  | $\$ \mathbf{9 0 , 2 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Employer Costs for Employee Compensation

A breakdown of total compensation components in New Mexico compared to national trends for civilian workers, private industry, and state and local government is shown in Table 3. These costs are derived from the National Compensation Survey conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and is published in the monthly Employer Costs for Employee Compensation (ECEC) report. Once average total compensation is derived, the various components can be calculated as a percentage of total compensation. This calculation allows for comparisons to be made between the State of New Mexico and national trends.

Table 3 demonstrates how New Mexico State employees' salaries and benefits compare nationally to other state and local governments and the private sector. In general, the balance between direct compensation (wages and salaries) and indirect compensation (benefits, paid time-off, and retirement) for the State is noticeably different from the other three worker groups. State of New Mexico wages and salaries only account for $58.5 \%$ of total compensation, as compared to approximately $61.7 \%$ for state and local governments nationally.

Although the State's wages and salaries are less than those nationally, the State's indirect compensation (benefits) is higher than other state and local governments by $3.2 \%$.

The survey indicates that the average amount of paid leave provided by the State is $1.8 \%$ greater than the national civilian worker average and that the percentage of insurance costs (medical, dental, vision, etc.) paid by the State is $7.5 \%$ greater than what civilian workers are provided. Nationally, in both public and private sectors, a trend is occurring to address escalating health insurance premiums by requiring employees to cover a greater percentage of their benefits through increased premium rates, higher co-pays, and higher yearly deductibles. These measures pass a greater cost on to the employee and reduce the cost to the employer. They also provide an incentive to employees to better manage their health and wellness issues because the employee bears more of the cost for services.

Table 3

| Compensation | Civilian Workers | Private Industry | State \& Local <br> Government | State of New Mexico |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages and Salaries | $69.0 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ |
| Benefits | $31.0 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ |
| Paid Leave | $7.4 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| Supplemental Pay | $3.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Insurance | $8.4 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |
| Retirement and Savings | $5.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| Legally Required | $7.2 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

The retirement and savings component in state and local government is more than two times the national average for civilian workers.

The State of New Mexico provides a defined benefit program for its classified service employees. Defined benefit programs have been phased out in most private sector organizations and are also beginning to be used less in the public sector. The deferred earnings of defined benefit programs provide critical financial security to employees during
retirement, though an issue with employer-paid retirement plans is that the employer's responsibility also continues long after employees have left the organization.

A solid retirement plan is a key factor in attracting employees to work for an organization, and it is an even larger factor in retaining employees. Due to the changes in workforce demographics, however, today's workers tend to move between different organizations more often and tend to be attracted to portable retirement plans that move with them when they leave an organization.

## National Trends

Trends in compensation administration are often influenced by economic indicators at the national, regional, and local levels. Gathering and analyzing data from these multivariate sources provides a framework against which the State's compensation program can be analyzed. This analysis then informs SPO's specific compensation recommendations.
For 2021, organizations across all industries are planning general salary increases of $2.5-3.5 \%$ as reported by national compensation survey sources. (See Table 4).

The Social Security Administration annually determines whether to grant beneficiaries a Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) based on the increase in the cost of living as measured by the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners

Table 4

| Industry Related Trends \& Data Sources |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| See Appendix A for Data Sources |  |
| WorldatWork | $3 \%$ |
| Korn Ferry | $2.5 \%$ |
| Mercer | $3 \%$ |
| Willis Towers Watson | $2.75 \%$ |
| Aon | $3.5 \%$ |
| NCASG | $2.58 \%$ |
| Salary.com | $2.9 \%$ | and Clerical Workers (CPI-W) during the third quarter of the current year compared to the CPI-W during the third quarter of the last year a COLA was awarded. Since 2012, Social Security adjustments have averaged about $1 \%$ per year, though in 2016 no increase was provided. For 2022, a 5.9\% COLA is planned. The notable increase is tied to a pandemic-fueled spike in inflation.

## Economic Data

The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks primary economic indicators relevant to compensation and the price of goods and services.

## Employment Cost Index (ECI)

The Employment Cost Index (ECI) is an indicator measured quarterly that tracks changes in compensation costs including wages, salaries, and the cost of employee benefits for employers.

## Table 5

| ECI \& CPI <br> Economic Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | ECI <br> (Civilian) | ECI (State \& Local <br> Govt.) | CPI-U |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2013 | $1.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2014 | $2.2 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2015 | $2.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | $2.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2.8 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2019 | $2.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | $2.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2021 | $3.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |

## Consumer Price Index—All Urban Consumers (CPI—U)

The Consumer Price Index-All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) is tracked monthly and is a measure of the changing purchasing power of the dollar. The number reflects the average change in the prices paid by urban consumers for a fixed market basket of goods and services. The index is principally used as an indicator of inflation.

For the period ending September 2021, the CPI-U, which covers $89 \%$ of the population of the United States, was reported as $1.4 \%$ (See Graph 1). Supporting data may be found at www.bls.gov.

Table 5 and Graph 1 show ECI wage-related data compared to CPI-U's inflation-related data.
It is useful to compare these national wage data trends against New Mexico's salary increase history to identify patterns
Graph 1

and develop recommendations when necessary. Graph 2 below compares the history of CPI-U rates (shaded area) and the national wage increases as reported by WorldatWork against legislatively authorized salary increases in New Mexico.

The graph demonstrates that New Mexico has not kept pace when compared to these two wage and economic data points. According to WorldatWork, in 2021, leading compensation industries have reported organizations providing an average $3 \%$ salary increase. The national rate of inflation has also outpaced salary growth in New Mexico for the same period. This means New Mexico employee wages have fallen behind trends resulting in employees spending more year-over-year for the same basket of goods and services as measured by the CPI-U. Annual State benefit cost increases have compounded this problem.

Data shows that as funding becomes available, the State will need to be prepared with multi-year strategies to address complex and varied salary structure and wage issues.

Graph 2

| CPI vs Legislative Increase vs WorldatWork |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \% \\ & 4.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 2.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $-2.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| $\square$ CPI-U | 2.5\% | 4.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 4.9\% | -1.0\% | 1.1\% | 3.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% |
| -_NM Salary Increase | 2.0\% | 1.8\% | 5.0\% | 4.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 4.0\% | 1.0\% |
| $\sim$ WorldatWork | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |

## Regional Trends

Table 6 illustrates the average classified employee salary for New Mexico and the Comparator Market for the past 10 years. The change from year-to-year should be viewed as snapshots in time and as a macro-indicator, not how each Comparator Market administered actual pay for individual employees. Each year the composition of filled jobs changes slightly depending on agency business needs, available budget, new hires, career progression, and separations.

Table 6

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | $\$ 36,695$ | $\$ 34,973$ | $\$ 35,422$ | $\$ 43,832$ | $\$ 44,116$ | $\$ 45,062$ | $\$ 45,981$ | $\$ 46,901$ | $\$ 47,988$ | $\$ 48,462$ | $\$ 49,431$ |
| Colorado | $\$ 51,072$ | $\$ 50,955$ | $\$ 52,270$ | $\$ 53,772$ | $\$ 54,300$ | $\$ 54,509$ | $\$ 54,858$ | $\$ 55,187$ | $\$ 62,956$ | $\$ 66,033$ | $\$ 67,354$ |
| Kansas* | $\$ 35,235$ | $\$ 37,855$ | $\$ 36,356$ | $\$ 37,336$ | $\$ 36,056$ | $\$ 37,133$ | $\$ 37,233$ | $\$ 37,345$ | $\$ 39,902$ |  | $\$ 41,498$ |
| Nevada* | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 46,446$ | $\$ 47,216$ | $\$ 64,792$ | $\$ 66,082$ | $\$ 69,084$ | $\$ 40,862$ | $\$ 42,088$ |  | $\$ 43,771$ |
| New <br> Mexico | $\$ 41,995$ | $\$ 41,912$ | $\$ 41,912$ | $\$ 43,576$ | $\$ 44,554$ | $\$ 44,803$ | $\$ 45,342$ | $\$ 45,906$ | $\$ 48,298$ | $\$ 50,502$ | $\$ 52,832$ |
| Oklahoma | $\$ 32,495$ | $\$ 35,540$ | $\$ 36,314$ | $\$ 37,700$ | $\$ 37,700$ | $\$ 42,940$ | $\$ 44,178$ | $\$ 45,061$ | $\$ 49,505$ | $\$ 44,716$ | $\$ 45,610$ |
| Texas* | $\$ 39,265$ | $\$ 40,223$ | $\$ 40,310$ | $\$ 40,398$ | $\$ 40,398$ | $\$ 43,255$ | $\$ 44,064$ | $\$ 44,901$ | $\$ 46,475$ |  |  |
| Utah | $\$ 39,312$ | $\$ 45,114$ | $\$ 45,749$ | $\$ 46,592$ | $\$ 47,656$ | $\$ 48,832$ | $\$ 49,764$ | $\$ 50,980$ | $\$ 50,481$ | $\$ 51,491$ | $\$ 52,521$ |
| Wyoming | $\$ 44,764$ | $\$ 48,352$ | $\$ 47,922$ | $\$ 49,213$ | $\$ 52,050$ | $\$ 54,018$ | $\$ 55,500$ | $\$ 53,299$ | $\$ 54,766$ | $\$ 54,605$ | $\$ 55,697$ |

[^4]
## New Mexico Trends

The US DOL BLS annually tracks the ECEC (Employer Costs for Employee Compensation), which includes measures of wages, salaries, and benefits across all nonfarm private and state and local government workers. This data provides another benchmark against which to compare New Mexico classified employee salaries. Nationally, as of June 2021, the ECEC reports the average salary for private industry is $\$ 50,211$ and the average salary for state and local government is \$65,686.

New Mexico's average classified employee salary as of July 2021 is $\$ 52,832$.

The New Mexico Department of Workforce Solutions (NM DWS) Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages for the Table 7

Average Annual Wages (not including benefits)

| USDOL ECEC Total Government | $\$ 65,686$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| USDOL ECEC Private Industry | $\$ 50,211$ |
| NM DWS Total Government (Fed, State, Local) | $\$ 49,972$ |
| NM Classified Employees | $\$ 52,832$ |
| NM DWS Private Industry | $\$ 45,292$ | first quarter of 2021 (published August 2021) reports private employment wages in New Mexico averaging \$45,292. (See Table 7)

Government wages across New Mexico (including Federal, State and Local) average \$49,972.

## New Mexico Legislatively Authorized Salary Increases

Graph 3 shows the legislatively appropriated salary increases for Fiscal Year 2022 and each of the past 18 fiscal years. The salary increase amounts include general salary increases for all classified State employees, as well as any supplemental increases appropriated for narrower groups of classified State employees for the years that they were provided. Specific information for each year can be found in Appendix B.

Graph 3


New Mexico Classified Employee Average \& Median Salary Comparison
$32 \%$ of New Mexico's classified employees earn between \$20,000 and $\$ 40,000$ annually.

FY21 data reflects that 32\% of New Mexico's classified employees earned between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 40,000$ annually. Supplemental information may be found in Graph 4 and Table 7.

## Graph 4

# Classified Employees By Salary Distribution 



Table 7

|  | 2008 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2018 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below \$10,000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| \$10,000-\$20,000 | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
| \$20,000-\$30,000 | 23.1\% | 23.7\% | 22.1\% | 15.6\% | 12.4\% | 11.7\% | 6.3\% | 6.4\% |
| \$30,000-\$40,000 | 29.6\% | 28.9\% | 31.0\% | 31.7\% | 33.3\% | 33.2\% | 25.7\% | 25.7\% |
| \$40,000-\$50,000 | 19.3\% | 19.4\% | 19.3\% | 21.1\% | 21.9\% | 22.1\% | 26.9\% | 26.5\% |
| \$50,000-\$60,000 | 12.6\% | 12.1\% | 11.5\% | 12.8\% | 12.7\% | 12.5\% | 17.2\% | 17.3\% |
| \$60,000-\$70,000 | 6.5\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 8.9\% | 8.0\% | 8.1\% | 8.6\% | 8.7\% |
| \$70,000-\$80,000 | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.9\% | 4.7\% | 5.3\% | 6.2\% | 6.2\% |
| \$80,000-\$90,000 | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.9\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |
| \$90,000-\$100,000 | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Above \$100,000 | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% |

## Average Salary Data by Pay Band

Table 8 shows the number of State classified employees, the average salary, and the average Compa-ratio in each pay band. The data shows that the average Compa-ratio in the General Salary Schedule is significantly over $100 \%$ in most cases, suggesting that the midpoints of the pay bands in the General Salary Structure are behind the Comparator Market for many job classifications. Compa-ratios for employees in the new occupation-based pay lines are generally lower. This is a result of those pay lines being implemented with higher, market-based midpoints.

## Table 8

| Salary Schedule | Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# Of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General | 25 | \$23,406 | 101\% | 255 |
|  | 30 | *\$22,470 | 100\% | 246 |
|  | 35 | \$27,293 | 102\% | 202 |
|  | 40 | \$29,845 | 103\% | 773 |
|  | 45 | \$33,379 | 106\% | 683 |
|  | 50 | \$35,525 | 102\% | 1,415 |
|  | 55 | \$39,780 | 102\% | 1,501 |
|  | 60 | \$42,635 | 100\% | 1,863 |
|  | 65 | \$49,021 | 104\% | 2,125 |
|  | 70 | \$54,502 | 104\% | 1,646 |
|  | 75 | \$63,207 | 108\% | 1,106 |
|  | 80 | \$72,645 | 110\% | 640 |
|  | 85 | \$81,710 | 108\% | 610 |
|  | 90 | \$94,652 | 109\% | 264 |
|  | 95 | \$106,043 | 106\% | 71 |
|  | 96 | \$115,144 | 99\% | 24 |
|  | 97 | \$152,839 | 115\% | 9 |
|  | 98 | \$166,771 | 109\% | 16 |
|  | 99 | \$312,394 | 107\% | 25 |
| Architect | AB | \$71,197 | 94\% | 5 |
|  | AC | \$101,930 | 100\% | 1 |
| Corrections | CA | \$32,344 | 89\% | 10 |
|  | CB | \$40,656 | 91\% | 734 |
|  | CC | \$44,458 | 90\% | 166 |
|  | CD | \$50,010 | 93\% | 96 |
|  | CE | \$56,860 | 98\% | 23 |
|  | CG | \$72,806 | 108\% | 5 |
|  | CH | \$65,222 | 89\% | 6 |
|  | CJ | \$70,648 | 84\% | 29 |
|  | CK | \$80,343 | 85\% | 13 |
|  | CL | \$94,746 | 90\% | 5 |
|  | CM | \$104,547 | 86\% | 2 |
| Engineering | EA | \$38,001 | 102\% | 60 |


|  | EB | \$43,444 | 105\% | 117 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EC | \$47,868 | 103\% | 143 |
|  | ED | \$53,307 | 101\% | 98 |
|  | EE | \$60,993 | 99\% | 126 |
|  | EF | \$71,128 | 96\% | 91 |
|  | EG | \$81,865 | 100\% | 95 |
|  | EH | \$97,458 | 106\% | 22 |
|  | El | \$98,202 | 96\% | 31 |
|  | EJ | \$112,646 | 102\% | 17 |
|  | EK | \$123,861 | 103\% | 12 |
| IT | IA | \$42,086 | 102\% | 16 |
|  | IB | \$49,219 | 103\% | 44 |
|  | IC | \$57,461 | 101\% | 57 |
|  | ID | \$59,974 | 94\% | 122 |
|  | IE | \$72,792 | 102\% | 176 |
|  | IF | \$83,798 | 104\% | 213 |
|  | IG | \$97,855 | 104\% | 56 |
|  | IH | \$104,011 | 94\% | 28 |
|  | 11 | \$113,968 | 93\% | 15 |
|  | IJ | \$123,527 | 92\% | 5 |
|  | IK | \$134,608 | 88\% | 5 |
| Attorney | LE | \$63,013 | 93\% | 18 |
|  | LF | \$70,727 | 97\% | 12 |
|  | LG | \$77,044 | 98\% | 37 |
|  | LH | \$84,984 | 98\% | 86 |
|  | LI | \$94,972 | 102\% | 59 |
|  | U | \$102,858 | 104\% | 14 |
| Social Services | SD | \$41,676 | 92\% | 163 |
|  | SE | \$49,080 | 95\% | 329 |
|  | SF | \$59,795 | 103\% | 118 |
|  | SG | \$65,663 | 97\% | 56 |
|  | SH | \$80,069 | 99\% | 26 |
|  | SI | \$82,094 | 92\% | 11 |

[^5]
## Average Salary Data by Agency

Appendix E presents data similar to the section above, grouped by State agency. The average Compa-ratio by agency for classified employees ranges from the Youth Conservation Corps at $83 \%$ Compa-ratio, to the Public Schools Insurance Authority at $118 \%$. The average Compa-ratio for all employees is approximately $102 \%$.

## Structure Adjustment History

Table 9 shows each salary schedule's implementation date, as well as its last adjustment date and the percent it was adjusted. Because salary structures are the foundation upon which State employees can be appropriately compensated, the schedules must continually be measured and adjusted to maintain a competitive compensation structure.

Table 9

| Salary Schedule | Implementation Date | Last Adjusted | Current FTE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General | July 2001 | July 2021-1.5\% | 13,474 |
| Corrections | July 2016 | July 2019-4\% | 1,047 |
| IT | August 2016 | July 2019-4\% | 737 |
| Engineering, Surveyor | July 2017 | July 2019 - 4\% | 812 |
| Architect | July 2017 | July 2019 - 4\% | 6 |
| Social Services | August 2018 | July 2019 - 4\% | 703 |
| Attorneys | August 2018 | July 2019-4\% | 226 |

For FY21, NCASG reported a $2.57 \%$ average structure adjustment across all benchmark classifications.

## Salary Structure

In order for an organization, especially a large one, to manage pay efficiently and effectively, it must simplify the administration of pay into a practical system. To accomplish this, organizations group individual classifications that have the same approximate job size or "worth" into pay bands. SPO uses the Korn Ferry Hay Group Guide Chart-Profile Method of Job Evaluation to determine the size of each classification.

Each classification is then assigned to the appropriate pay band. A pay range sets the upper and lower bounds of possible compensation for individuals whose jobs fall within a specific pay band. Most of the pay bands in the General Salary Structure are currently $74 \%$ wide - meaning the maximum rate of pay is $74 \%$ greater than the minimum rate of pay. As shown below, the new occupation-based salary structures are narrower -- one has pay bands that are $67 \%$ wide, five have pay bands that are $60 \%$ wide, and two are $40 \%$ wide.. Pay bands act as a control device by identifying the lower and upper ranges of pay that the State is willing to pay for a particular job.




## 40\% Corrections Pay Band Width

40\% Healthcare-Physician Pay Band Width

## Classified Employee Compa-Ratio

Below, in Graph 5, the distribution of State classified employee Compa-ratios is illustrated. The distribution normally resembles a bell-shaped curve, with the number of employees spread evenly throughout the distribution.

## Graph 5



Over the last four fiscal years, average new hire Compa-ratio has stayed relatively consistent. (See Graph 6)

## Graph 6



## Alternative Pay Bands (APB)

An APB assignment is used when the current market rate for a classification significantly exceeds the pay band assigned through the job evaluation process. APB assignments are typically utilized due to external market pressures, such as the low supply and high demand for labor (labor shortage). When a labor shortage exists, organizations compete with one another to attract and retain qualified employees. Since the internal value (size of job identified through job evaluation process) has not changed, and there are no new higher qualifications or more complex duties and responsibilities, it does not make sense to permanently assign the classification to a different pay band. The solution is to "temporarily" assign the classification to a higher pay band for a limited time until either the market pressures recede, or the actual employergenerated pay for employees catches up to the market rate, and the APB assignment is no longer needed. The implementation of occupation-based salary structures has allowed the State to reduce, though not eliminate, the need for APBs in critical occupations. A complete list of all job classifications assigned to APBs can be found in Appendix F.

## Pay Administration

## Pay Mechanisms

The SPB Rules provide pay mechanisms that enhance recruitment and retention efforts by providing agencies with several tools to attract and retain a qualified workforce. The various pay mechanisms are explained and listed below:

- Temporary Recruitment Differentials (TRECs) ${ }^{6}$, are increases in hiring pay authorized for positions documented as being critical to the business needs of an agency and for which the agency has demonstrated and documented recruitment difficulty. Agencies need to demonstrate continued justification for a TREC to SPO biennially.

[^6]- Temporary Retention Differentials (TRETs) ${ }^{7}$, are increases in pay authorized for positions documented as being critical to the business needs of an agency and from which an employee's departure would disrupt the agency's ability to fulfill its mission. TRETs may be approved for up to one year.
- Temporary Salary Increases (TSIs) ${ }^{8}$, are used when an employee temporarily accepts and consistently performs additional duties which are characteristic of a job requiring greater responsibility and accountability, making it a higher valued job. A TSI is a short-term salary measure that may be used until the conditions of the additional duties and responsibilities cease to exist and may not be extended beyond a one-year period.
- In-Pay Band Salary Adjustments (IPBs) ${ }^{9}$, provide agencies the latitude to make recommendations to the State Personnel Director for a permanent base compensation increase once per fiscal year for employees whose performance has demonstrated placement at a higher Compa-ratio. This pay mechanism allows flexibility for agencies to provide salary growth within the pay band. The Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) reviews the requests to ensure current and future agency budget availability.

Graph 7 below shows the State's level of use of each of these pay mechanisms from FY17 through FY21. The low numbers of temporary pay mechanisms (TREC, TRET, and TSI) reflect SPO's development of the new occupation-based classification and pay structures, as well as its ongoing efforts to ensure that State agencies are following SPB Rules. Temporary pay mechanisms are reviewed and authorized for various limited periods of time, on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with SPB rules and depending on agency budgetary constraints as monitored by DFA. The large number of IPBs in FY19 were granted to healthcare and community services related professionals at DOH and HSD. These IPBs brought employees closer to new-hire Compa-ratios and corrected internal alignment and appropriate placement issues.

## Graph 7
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## Classified Service Demographics

Graphs 8-11 below detail the level of education, ethnicity, gender, and age demographics of State classified employees.

## Graph 8



Graph 9



## County-by-County Population vs. Classified Demographics

Table 10 shows that in nearly all New Mexico counties, the State classified employee average salary is competitive and higher than the overall average salary in the county. There are a few instances, however, where that is not the case. In Eddy and Lea counties, for example, traditional oil and gas producing areas, average State classified employee salaries are significantly less than average county salaries. Starting salaries for oil and gas employees are typically in the $\$ 60,000$ range. State classified service salaries also have difficulty competing against the technology centers located in Sandoval, Los Alamos, and Valencia counties, as those counties are home to Intel, Los Alamos National Laboratory, and Facebook respectively.

Table 10

| County Demographics |  | State Classified <br> Demographics |  | State Classified <br> Comparison |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Population | Median <br> Salary | FTE | Median <br> Salary | \% FTE <br> County | Salary <br> Difference |
| Bernalillo | 687,760 | $\$ 55,990$ | 5,449 | $\$ 53,277$ | $0.79 \%$ | $-\$ 2,713$ |
| Catron | 3,864 | $\$ 43,825$ | 22 | $\$ 46,035$ | $0.57 \%$ | $\$ 2,210$ |
| Chaves | 65,292 | $\$ 41,905$ | 728 | $\$ 50,161$ | $1.11 \%$ | $\$ 8,256$ |
| Cibola | 25,898 | $\$ 42,911$ | 388 | $\$ 45,469$ | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 2,558$ |


| Colfax | 12,903 | $\$ 38,096$ | 497 | $\$ 55,637$ | $3.85 \%$ | $\$ 17,541$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Curry | 51,558 | $\$ 49,402$ | 256 | $\$ 52,200$ | $0.50 \%$ | $\$ 2,798$ |
| De Baca | 1,917 | $\$ 33,400$ | 20 | $\$ 42,112$ | $1.04 \%$ | $\$ 8,712$ |
| Doña Ana | 230,328 | $\$ 43,052$ | 1,560 | $\$ 50,104$ | $0.68 \%$ | $\$ 7,052$ |
| Eddy | 58,692 | $\$ 65,457$ | 223 | $\$ 50,627$ | $0.38 \%$ | $-\$ 14,830$ |
| Grant | 29,212 | $\$ 40,219$ | 425 | $\$ 46,757$ | $1.45 \%$ | $\$ 6,538$ |
| Guadalupe | 4,935 | $\$ 29,375$ | 66 | $\$ 44,202$ | $1.34 \%$ | $\$ 14,827$ |
| Harding | 613 | $\$ 31,166$ | 11 | $\$ 43,776$ | $1.79 \%$ | $\$ 12,610$ |
| Hidalgo | 4,778 | $\$ 42,487$ | 50 | $\$ 47,104$ | $1.05 \%$ | $\$ 4,617$ |
| Lea | 72,197 | $\$ 65,338$ | 246 | $\$ 50,727$ | $0.34 \%$ | $-\$ 14,611$ |
| Lincoln | 21,252 | $\$ 51,174$ | 112 | $\$ 48,469$ | $0.53 \%$ | $-\$ 2,705$ |
| Los Alamos | 18,803 | $\$ 123,448$ | 13 | $\$ 58,450$ | $0.07 \%$ | $-\$ 64,998$ |
| Luna | 24,409 | $\$ 30,630$ | 267 | $\$ 50,511$ | $1.09 \%$ | $\$ 19,881$ |
| McKinley | 69,295 | $\$ 37,464$ | 265 | $\$ 48,891$ | $0.38 \%$ | $\$ 11,427$ |
| Mora | 4,675 | $\$ 31,572$ | 27 | $\$ 36,762$ | $0.58 \%$ | $\$ 5,190$ |
| Otero | 69,124 | $\$ 38,045$ | 295 | $\$ 50,501$ | $0.43 \%$ | $\$ 12,456$ |
| Quay | 8,570 | $\$ 30,644$ | 133 | $\$ 47,800$ | $1.55 \%$ | $\$ 17,156$ |
| Rio Arriba | 3,884 | $\$ 42,431$ | 206 | $\$ 47,325$ | $5.30 \%$ | $\$ 4,894$ |
| Roosevelt | 19,439 | $\$ 44,142$ | 70 | $\$ 45,930$ | $0.36 \%$ | $\$ 1,788$ |
| San Juan | 128,348 | $\$ 43,953$ | 446 | $\$ 50,743$ | $0.35 \%$ | $\$ 6,790$ |
| San Miguel | 30,469 | $\$ 33,125$ | 1,012 | $\$ 46,533$ | $3.32 \%$ | $\$ 13,408$ |
| Sandoval | 151,917 | $\$ 70,712$ | 334 | $\$ 48,940$ | $0.22 \%$ | $-\$ 21,772$ |
| Santa Fe | 150,834 | $\$ 60,115$ | 7,786 | $\$ 59,494$ | $5.16 \%$ | $-\$ 621$ |
| Sierra | 11,838 | $\$ 29,763$ | 258 | $\$ 43,712$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 13,949$ |
| Socorro | 17,611 | $\$ 41,032$ | 129 | $\$ 51,663$ | $0.73 \%$ | $\$ 10,631$ |
| Taos | 32,735 | $\$ 41,459$ | 212 | $\$ 51,858$ | $0.65 \%$ | $\$ 10,399$ |
| Torrance | 16,662 | $\$ 37,265$ | 92 | $\$ 43,673$ | $0.55 \%$ | $\$ 6,408$ |
| Union | 4,531 | $\$ 37,841$ | 139 | $\$ 44,134$ | $3.07 \%$ | $\$ 6,293$ |
| Valencia | 80,243 | $\$ 54,312$ | 813 | $\$ 43,557$ | $1.01 \%$ | $-\$ 10,755$ |

## Leave Accruals and Payouts

## Annual Leave

One of the State's many employee benefits is paid time off. Employees may use accrued leave and be paid for the hours they are absent from work due to vacation (annual leave) or being sick (sick leave). Sick leave may also be used to care for sick family members.

Classified employees accrue annual leave as outlined in the SPB Rules, based on their tenure. For example, employees with less than three years of service accrue 80 hours of annual leave per year, while those with over 15 years of service accrue nearly 160 hours per year. During FY21, State employees used approximately 1.48 million hours of earned annual leave.

Graphs 12 and 13 show actual annual leave usage, in hours and cost, from FY15 through FY21:


Graph 12


Graph 13

When employees separate from State service, they are eligible to cash out up to 240 hours of annual leave at their current hourly pay rate. Any additional hours over 240 are forfeited at the time of separation, as well as at the end of each calendar year for active employees.

Graphs 14 and 15 below show that employees who separated from the State classified service cashed out a total of $\$ 4,600,000$ of annual leave in FY21. The average employee who separated in FY21 cashed out approximately 6.8 days of annual leave.

## Graph 14



## Graph 15

Classified Employee Annual Leave Hours Cost of Payout


## Sick Leave

In FY20, the SPB approved an increase to the sick leave accrual rate, setting the new rate at 4 hours per pay period (up from 3.69 hours per pay period) for a total of 104 hours per year. The sick leave actual usage and cost for FY15 through FY21 are shown on Graphs 16 and 17. In FY21, employees used approximately 1.1 million hours of sick leave, as compared to the FY20 level of 1.21 million hours, resulting in a decreased cost of approximately $\$ 3,200,000$.

Graph 16


Graph 17


Employees are eligible to cash out accrued sick leave over 600 hours once per fiscal year, either in July or January, at onehalf their hourly rate for up to 120 hours of sick leave. At the time of retirement, employees can cash out accrued sick leave over 600 hours at one-half their hourly rate for up to 400 hours of sick leave. Graphs 18 and 19 below show the total sick leave hours cashed out from FY17 to FY21. in FY21, agencies cashed out approximately 55,920 hours of sick leave for active employees and 4,900 hours of sick leave for employees who were retiring, for a total of 60,820 hours at a cost of $\$ 957,900$.


## Overtime

Agencies are expected to assign work in a responsible manner to avoid the need for overtime. Managers and supervisors typically use existing staff resources to meet work demands; however, understaffing, special projects, or emergency situations may require employees to work additional hours. Whether to allow overtime is left largely to the discretion of the agencies. The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) requires that non-exempt employees be compensated for any additional hours worked over 40 in a workweek, at 1.5 times their salary. Agencies may also allow employees to accrue compensatory time in lieu of cash payment. FLSA exempt employees (those not covered by the overtime provisions of FLSA) may be compensated for overtime according to agency policy.

There is a correlation between vacancy rates and overtime hours worked. If an agency has a vacant position, employees may be required to do the work that would normally be done for that position by working additional hours.

Graphs 20 and 21 below depict the usage and cost of State classified service employee overtime from FY15 through FY21.. During FY21, together FLSA non-exempt and FLSA exempt employees were paid over $\$ 35$ million dollars in the form of either a cash payment or compensatory time off.

Graph 20


Graph 21
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## Appendix A - industry \& Economic Data Sources

| WorldatWork Total |
| :--- |
| Salary Increase Budget |
| Survey: |
|  |
| (United States participating |
| members) | members)

## Korn Ferry HayGroup:

(United States participating member)

WorldatWork is a nonprofit human resources association focused on compensation, benefits, work-life effectiveness, and total rewards. WorldatWork has more than 70,000 members and subscribers worldwide. Their Salary Budget Survey is the \#1 source in the industry, as well as the longest and largest survey of its kind. WorldatWork projects an average salary increase of three and three tenths' percent ( $3.0 \%$ ) across all US industries for 2021.

Supporting data may be found at www.worldatwork.org.

Korn Ferry is a global management and consulting firm providing a range of HR services to companies in 110 countries. They are a leading provider of compensation data, strategy and services across all major industries and employment sectors. For 2021, Korn Ferry projects a three percent (2.5\%) average base salary increase across all industries.

Supporting data may be found at www.kornferry.com

Mercer is a global human resources consulting firm providing services from strategy to implementation. Mercer is a leading provider of compensation and benefits information created from one of the largest warehouses of employer-reported data, with benchmark data representing 17 million employees from over 6,000 organizations. Mercer projects an average salary increase of three percent ( $3.0 \%$ ) across all industries for 2021.

Supporting data may be found at www.imercer.com.
Willis Towers Watson is a global advisory, broking, and solutions company with over 46,100 employees in more than 140 countries. The Willis Towers Watson General Industry Salary Budget Survey found salary increases are expected to hold steady in 2021, with projections for exempt, non-management employees at two and three quarters percent (2.75\%), management employees at three and one tenths percent ( $3.1 \%$ ), non-exempt hourly employees at three percent $(3.0 \%)$ and non-exempt salaried employees at two and nine tenths' percent (2.9\%).

Supporting data may be found at www.willistowerswatson.com.

## Aon:

(United States participating member

Salary.com:

United States Bureau of Labor Statistics:

The Aon U.S. Salary Increase Survey of 1,062 U.S. companies indicates that organizations plan on providing a three and half percent ( $3.5 \%$ ) salary increase across all industries for 2021.

Supporting data may be found at www.aon.com.
Salary.com is the leading software-as-a-service provider of cloud-based compensation market data and analytics. Founded in 1999, the Company serves approximately 4,000 business-to-business customers worldwide with its market-leading CompAnalyst platform. Salary.com projects that the median annual salary increase will remain at three percent (2.9\%) across all industries for 2021.

Supporting data may be found at www.salary.com.
The Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor is the principal Federal agency responsible for measuring labor market activity, working conditions and price changes in the US economy. Its mission is to collect, analyze, and disseminate essential economic information to support
public and private decision-making. As an independent statistical agency, BLS serves its diverse user communities by providing products and services that are objective, timely, accurate, and relevant.

Supporting data may be found at www.bls.gov.
National Compensation Association of State Governments (NCASG) annually conducts the National Compensation Survey, Benefits Survey, and the Executive Survey and prepares reports for member states. NCASG calculated an average salary increase of $2.58 \%$ across all benchmarks for 2021.

The New Mexico Department of Workforce Solutions (DWS) is responsible for economic research and analysis, business development and outreach, employment outreach and transition programs, workforce services programs, and labor compliance programs. The Economic Research and Analysis Bureau of DWS publishes a wide variety of reports and data on labor market information. The Bureau measures labor market activity, working conditions and price changes in the statewide economy.

Supporting data may be found at www.dws.state.nm.us.

## Appendix B - Legislative Fiscal Year Increases in Detail

| Date | Legislative <br> Increase | Other | General Fund Appropriation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/1/2021 | 1.50\% | - Nine million four thousand six hundred dollars $(\$ 9,004,600)$ to provide incumbents in agencies governed by the State Personnel Act, the New Mexico state police career pay system, attorney general employees, workers' compensation judges and executive exempt employees with an average salary increase of one and one-half percent; <br> - Three million dollars $(\$ 3,000,000)$ to provide salary increases in addition to the one and one-half percent for frontline health and social service employees employed by state agencies; | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,004,600 \\ & \$ 3,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7/1/2020 | 1.00\% | The Legislature appropriated one million seven hundred thousand dollars is appropriated to the department of finance and administration to distribute to executive, legislative and judicial agencies to provide a one percent salary increase to cover cost increases of employee benefits for employees earning an annual salary of less than fifty thousand dollars ( $\$ 50,000$ ); | \$1,700,000 |
| 7/1/2019 | 4.00\% | The Legislature appropriated twenty-one million six hundred eleven thousand two hundred dollars to provide incumbents in agencies governed by the State Personnel Act, the New Mexico state police career pay system, attorney general employees, workers' compensation judges and executive exempt employees with an average salary increase of four percent. | \$21,611,200 |
|  | 2.00\% | The Legislature appropriated from the general fund to the department of finance and administration for expenditure in fiscal year 2020 to provide salary increases to employees in budgeted positions who have completed their probationary period subject to satisfactory job performance. | \$21,611,200 |
| 7/1/2018 | 1.00\% | The Legislature appropriated an additional $1 \%$ from the general fund to the department of finance and administration for expenditure in fiscal year 2020 to provide salary increases to employees in budgeted positions who are earning less than twenty-five thousand dollars per year on a full-time equivalent basis. | \$102,800 |
| 7/1/2017 | 0.00\% | $\square$ | - |
| 7/1/2016 | 0.00\% | The Legislature appropriated $\$ 4.5$ million to the Corrections department specifically for the purpose to "...implement and occupationally based salary structure that brings staff salaries to the minimum of the pay bands and to provide targeted salary increases to custody staff for the purpose of reducing compaction and improving employee recruitment and retention ...". | \$4,500,000 |
| 7/1/2015 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/5/2014 | 3.00\% | - $\$ 13,973,968$ GF to provide a $3 \%$ salary increase effective the first full pay period after 7/1/2013 to for both union \& non-union classified employees who have completed their probationary period and subject to a satisfactory job evaluation. Employees who reach the end of probationary status between $7 / 5 / 14$ and $6 / 30 / 15$ will receive this increase effective the first pay period following anniversary date. <br> - An additional $\$ 2,000,000 \mathrm{GF}$ was given for salary adjustments in specific classified job classification to be identified by SPO \& DFA as trouble with recruitment \& retention | \$15,973,968 |
| 7/6/2013 | 1.00\% | - Additional 3\% was given to commissioned officers in the Motor Transportation Division for a total of $4 \%$. | \$8,197,068 |
| 7/1/2011 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2010 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2009 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2008 | 2.90\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2007 | 4.50\% | - Bring 86 employees to $\$ 7.50 / \mathrm{hr}$. <br> - $5 \%$ to MTD/SID Officers at DPS "in lieu" of FY08 pay package. <br> - Additional 5\% to Adult Correctional Officers and Public Defender Attorneys. <br> - Additional 4\% to Probation/Parole Officers, Librarian, Librarian Asst., Librarian Tech., Livestock/Meat Inspector, Dispatcher, Security Guard, Forensic Scientist O \& A roles, Highway Maintainers, Civil Engineering Tech. Also, HSD FAA's, \& CSLA. DOH Chemist; Microbiologist; Life, Physical \& Social Science Tech., and Medical Scientist-Except Epidemiologist. | \$29,661,100 |
| 7/1/2006 | 5.00\% | - MTD/SID Officers at the Department of Public Safety. $\$ 129,600$ for MTD Officers and $\$ 182,600$ for SID Officers. This resulted in an average $18.0 \%$ increase for MTD and an average $20.2 \%$ increase for SID. | \$23,097,100 |


| Date | Legislative Increase | Other | General Fund Appropriation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/1/2005 | 1.80\% | - Public Defender Attorneys - 1.75\% + an additional 3.25\% = 5.0\% <br> - Commissioned Officers at DPS $=5.0 \%$. This includes MTD \& SID Commissioned Officers. <br> - Adult Probation \& Parole Officers at the Department of Corrections 3.25\% then the 1.75\% General Salary Increase on top of the 3.25\% <br> - MVD Clerks at the Taxation \& Revenue Department. \$585,000 given directly to agency in expansion request to bring clerks to $85 \%$ Compa-ratio <br> - Game and Fish Department: $\$ 1,250,000$ given to provide internal salary increases to Conservation Officers and other agency staff. Worked with department to develop internal pay plan. | \$11,408,100 |
| 7/1/2004 | 2.00\% |  | \$9,100,600 |
| 7/1/2003 | 3.10\% |  | \$5,810,000 |

*Full cost includes state paid benefits.

Appendix C - 2021 Year Comparator Market Average Classified Salary

| Year | 8 State Average | New Mexico | Percent NM to Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | \$35,116 | \$31,858 | -10.2\% |
| 2002 | \$34,809 | \$32,558 | -6.9\% |
| 2003 | \$36,249 | \$33,426 | -8.4\% |
| 2004 | \$37,418 | \$34,018 | -10.0\% |
| 2005 | \$37,157 | \$35,834 | -3.7\% |
| 2006 | \$39,274 | \$37,918 | -3.6\% |
| 2007 | \$39,787 | \$38,820 | -2.5\% |
| 2008 | \$41,712 | \$42,099 | 0.9\% |
| 2009 | \$43,398 | \$42,058 | -3.2\% |
| 2010 | \$43,671 | \$41,986 | -4.0\% |
| 2011 | \$41,818 | \$41,995 | 0.4\% |
| 2012 | \$43,590 | \$41,912 | -4.0\% |
| 2013 | \$42,599 | \$41,912 | -1.6\% |
| 2014 | \$44,507 | \$43,576 | -2.1\% |
| 2015 | \$47,134 | \$44,554 | -5.8\% |
| 2016 | \$48,979 | \$44,803 | -9.3\% |
| 2017 | \$49,588 | \$45,324 | -9.4\% |
| 2018 | \$46,867 | \$45,906 | -2.1\% |
| 2019 | \$49,270 | \$48,298 | -2.0\% |
| 2020 | \$52,863 | \$50,502 | -4.6\% |
| 2021 | \$50,840 | \$52,832 | 4\% |

Appendix D - Classified Service Salary Structure

| ARCHITECT CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Bandwidth |
| AA | $\$ 51,359$ | $\$ 66,767$ | $\$ 82,174$ | $60 \%$ |
| AB | $\$ 58,406$ | $\$ 75,928$ | $\$ 93,450$ | $60 \%$ |
| AC | $\$ 78,399$ | $\$ 101,919$ | $\$ 125,438$ | $60 \%$ |

ATTORNEY CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Bandwidth |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| LE | $\$ 51,860$ | $\$ 67,418$ | $\$ 82,976$ | $60 \%$ |
| LF | $\$ 56,041$ | $\$ 72,853$ | $\$ 89,666$ | $60 \%$ |
| LG | $\$ 60,738$ | $\$ 78,959$ | $\$ 97,181$ | $60 \%$ |
| LH | $\$ 66,338$ | $\$ 86,240$ | $\$ 106,141$ | $60 \%$ |
| LI | $\$ 71,084$ | $\$ 92,409$ | $\$ 113,734$ | $60 \%$ |
| LI | $\$ 75,862$ | $\$ 98,620$ | $\$ 121,379$ | $60 \%$ |
| LK | $\$ 83,158$ | $\$ 108,105$ | $\$ 133,053$ | $60 \%$ |

CLASSIFIED SERVICE GENERAL SALARY SCHEDULE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Bandwidth |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 25 | $\$ 16,176$ | $\$ 23,391$ | $\$ 30,605$ | $89 \%$ |
| 30 | $\$ 17,258$ | $\$ 24,770$ | $\$ 32,281$ | $87 \%$ |
| 35 | $\$ 18,711$ | $\$ 26,448$ | $\$ 34,185$ | $83 \%$ |
| 40 | $\$ 20,447$ | $\$ 28,457$ | $\$ 36,467$ | $78 \%$ |
| 45 | $\$ 22,516$ | $\$ 30,847$ | $\$ 39,178$ | $74 \%$ |
| 50 | $\$ 24,980$ | $\$ 34,222$ | $\$ 43,465$ | $74 \%$ |
| 55 | $\$ 27,832$ | $\$ 38,130$ | $\$ 48,428$ | $74 \%$ |
| 60 | $\$ 30,518$ | $\$ 41,809$ | $\$ 53,101$ | $74 \%$ |
| 65 | $\$ 33,720$ | $\$ 46,197$ | $\$ 58,673$ | $74 \%$ |
| 70 | $\$ 37,538$ | $\$ 51,427$ | $\$ 65,316$ | $74 \%$ |
| 75 | $\$ 42,088$ | $\$ 57,660$ | $\$ 73,233$ | $74 \%$ |
| 80 | $\$ 47,510$ | $\$ 65,089$ | $\$ 82,667$ | $74 \%$ |
| 85 | $\$ 53,976$ | $\$ 73,947$ | $\$ 93,918$ | $74 \%$ |
| 90 | $\$ 61,673$ | $\$ 84,492$ | $\$ 107,311$ | $74 \%$ |
| 95 | $\$ 70,869$ | $\$ 97,090$ | $\$ 123,312$ | $74 \%$ |
| 96 | $\$ 81,823$ | $\$ 112,098$ | $\$ 142,372$ | $74 \%$ |
| 97 | $\$ 94,883$ | $\$ 129,990$ | $\$ 165,096$ | $74 \%$ |
| 98 | $\$ 110,436$ | $\$ 151,298$ | $\$ 192,159$ | $74 \%$ |
| 99 | $\$ 207,761$ | $\$ 284,633$ | $\$ 361,504$ | $74 \%$ |


| CORRECTIONS CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Bandwidth |
| CA | $\$ 30,303$ | $\$ 36,363$ | $\$ 42,424$ | $40 \%$ |
| CB | $\$ 36,223$ | $\$ 43,468$ | $\$ 50,712$ | $40 \%$ |
| CC | $\$ 40,121$ | $\$ 48,145$ | $\$ 56,169$ | $40 \%$ |
| CD | $\$ 44,184$ | $\$ 53,021$ | $\$ 61,858$ | $40 \%$ |
| CE | $\$ 48,450$ | $\$ 58,140$ | $\$ 67,830$ | $40 \%$ |
| CF | $\$ 52,533$ | $\$ 63,039$ | $\$ 73,546$ | $40 \%$ |
| CG | $\$ 56,008$ | $\$ 67,209$ | $\$ 78,411$ | $40 \%$ |
| CH | $\$ 61,156$ | $\$ 73,387$ | $\$ 85,618$ | $40 \%$ |
| Cl | $\$ 65,587$ | $\$ 78,704$ | $\$ 91,822$ | $40 \%$ |
| CJ | $\$ 70,129$ | $\$ 84,155$ | $\$ 98,181$ | $40 \%$ |
| CK | $\$ 77,429$ | $\$ 92,915$ | $\$ 108,401$ | $40 \%$ |
| CL | $\$ 86,310$ | $\$ 103,572$ | $\$ 120,834$ | $40 \%$ |
| CM | $\$ 96,497$ | $\$ 115,796$ | $\$ 135,096$ | $40 \%$ |

ENGINEER, SURVEYOR AND WATER RESOURCE CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Bandwidth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EA | $\$ 28,405$ | $\$ 36,926$ | $\$ 45,448$ | $60 \%$ |
| EB | $\$ 31,516$ | $\$ 40,971$ | $\$ 50,426$ | $60 \%$ |
| EC | $\$ 35,435$ | $\$ 46,066$ | $\$ 56,696$ | $60 \%$ |
| ED | $\$ 40,402$ | $\$ 52,522$ | $\$ 64,643$ | $60 \%$ |
| EE | $\$ 47,199$ | $\$ 61,359$ | $\$ 75,518$ | $60 \%$ |
| EF | $\$ 56,792$ | $\$ 73,830$ | $\$ 90,867$ | $60 \%$ |
| EG | $\$ 63,198$ | $\$ 82,158$ | $\$ 101,117$ | $60 \%$ |
| EH | $\$ 70,155$ | $\$ 91,201$ | $\$ 112,248$ | $60 \%$ |
| EI | $\$ 77,875$ | $\$ 101,238$ | $\$ 124,600$ | $60 \%$ |
| EJ | $\$ 84,881$ | $\$ 110,345$ | $\$ 135,810$ | $60 \%$ |
| EK | $\$ 92,518$ | $\$ 120,274$ | $\$ 148,029$ | $60 \%$ |

HEALTHCARE CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Bandwidth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA | $\$ 22,384$ | $\$ 29,099$ | $\$ 35,814$ | $60 \%$ |
| HB | $\$ 25,552$ | $\$ 33,218$ | $\$ 40,883$ | $60 \%$ |
| HC | $\$ 29,152$ | $\$ 37,898$ | $\$ 46,643$ | $60 \%$ |
| HD | $\$ 33,744$ | $\$ 43,867$ | $\$ 53,990$ | $60 \%$ |
| HE | $\$ 40,208$ | $\$ 52,270$ | $\$ 64,333$ | $60 \%$ |
| HF | $\$ 46,368$ | $\$ 60,278$ | $\$ 74,189$ | $60 \%$ |
| HG | $\$ 51,680$ | $\$ 67,184$ | $\$ 82,688$ | $60 \%$ |
| HH | $\$ 56,992$ | $\$ 74,090$ | $\$ 91,187$ | $60 \%$ |


| HI | $\$ 63,680$ | $\$ 82,784$ | $\$ 101,888$ | $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HJ | $\$ 70,768$ | $\$ 91,998$ | $\$ 113,229$ | $60 \%$ |
| HK | $\$ 80,592$ | $\$ 104,770$ | $\$ 128,947$ | $60 \%$ |
| HL | $\$ 88,464$ | $\$ 115,003$ | $\$ 141,542$ | $60 \%$ |
| HM | $\$ 94,704$ | $\$ 123,115$ | $\$ 151,526$ | $60 \%$ |
| HN | $\$ 101,808$ | $\$ 132,350$ | $\$ 162,893$ | $60 \%$ |
| HO | $\$ 110,576$ | $\$ 143,749$ | $\$ 176,922$ | $60 \%$ |

HEALTHCARE PHYSICIANS CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Bandwidth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XA | $\$ 116,667$ | $\$ 140,000$ | $\$ 163,333$ | $40 \%$ |
| XB | $\$ 134,855$ | $\$ 161,826$ | $\$ 188,797$ | $40 \%$ |
| XC | $\$ 153,043$ | $\$ 183,652$ | $\$ 214,261$ | $40 \%$ |
| XD | $\$ 171,232$ | $\$ 205,478$ | $\$ 239,724$ | $40 \%$ |
| XE | $\$ 189,420$ | $\$ 227,304$ | $\$ 265,188$ | $40 \%$ |
| XF | $\$ 207,608$ | $\$ 249,130$ | $\$ 290,652$ | $40 \%$ |
| XG | $\$ 225,797$ | $\$ 270,956$ | $\$ 316,115$ | $40 \%$ |
| XH | $\$ 243,985$ | $\$ 292,782$ | $\$ 341,579$ | $40 \%$ |
| XI | $\$ 262,173$ | $\$ 314,608$ | $\$ 367,043$ | $40 \%$ |
| XJ | $\$ 280,362$ | $\$ 336,434$ | $\$ 392,506$ | $40 \%$ |

SOCIAL SERVICES CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Bandwidth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | $\$ 34,827$ | $\$ 45,275$ | $\$ 55,723$ | $60 \%$ |
| SE | $\$ 39,537$ | $\$ 51,398$ | $\$ 63,259$ | $60 \%$ |
| SF | $\$ 44,445$ | $\$ 57,779$ | $\$ 71,112$ | $60 \%$ |
| SG | $\$ 51,867$ | $\$ 67,427$ | $\$ 82,987$ | $60 \%$ |
| SH | $\$ 61,935$ | $\$ 80,515$ | $\$ 99,096$ | $60 \%$ |
| SI | $\$ 68,523$ | $\$ 89,080$ | $\$ 109,637$ | $60 \%$ |

Appendix E - Average Salary Data by Agency

| Agency | Average Annual Rate | Average Compa Ratio | Count of Emp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Hearings Office | \$76,948 | 105\% | 14 |
| Adult Parole Board | \$45,417 | 97\% | 3 |
| Aging \& Long-Term Services Dept | \$53,850 | 100\% | 170 |
| Architect Examiners Board | \$41,600 | 107\% | 1 |
| Board of Nursing | \$53,147 | 105\% | 23 |
| Border Development Authority | \$51,873 | 105\% | 2 |
| Children, Youth \& Families Dept | \$52,103 | 102\% | 1744 |
| Com for Deaf/Hard of Hearing | \$49,328 | 102\% | 11 |
| Commission for the Blind | \$46,310 | 101\% | 55 |
| Commission of Public Records | \$51,342 | 99\% | 28 |
| Crime Victims Reparation Comm | \$54,183 | 104\% | 21 |
| Department of Cultural Affairs | \$45,690 | 104\% | 388 |
| Department of Early Childhood | \$51,631 | 106\% | 231 |
| Department of Environment | \$60,247 | 104\% | 528 |
| Department of Ethics | \$73,961 | 103\% | 2 |
| Department of Finance \& Administration | \$64,363 | 110\% | 116 |
| Department of Game \& Fish | \$55,281 | 111\% | 277 |
| Department of Health | \$50,323 | 106\% | 3037 |
| Department of Indian Affairs | \$56,375 | 116\% | 8 |
| Department of Public Safety | \$49,490 | 106\% | 368 |
| Department of Transportation | \$48,533 | 104\% | 2198 |
| Department of Veteran Services | \$47,389 | 105\% | 53 |
| Dept of Workforce Solutions | \$42,018 | 93\% | 536 |
| Dept of Information Technology | \$70,954 | 101\% | 126 |
| Dept of Vocational Rehab. | \$51,756 | 107\% | 245 |
| Dev Disabilities Plan Council | \$52,046 | 104\% | 13 |
| Economic Development Department | \$60,785 | 105\% | 38 |
| Educational Retirement Board | \$55,178 | 108\% | 52 |
| Energy, Minerals \& Natural Resources Department | \$47,312 | 100\% | 429 |
| EXPO New Mexico | \$54,382 | 109\% | 13 |
| Gaming Control Board | \$57,418 | 113\% | 36 |
| General Services Department | \$51,382 | 112\% | 236 |
| Governor's Comm. on Disability | \$53,171 | 101\% | 9 |
| Higher Education Department | \$69,378 | 113\% | 33 |
| Homeland Security \& Emergency Management | \$57,544 | 112\% | 46 |


| Human Services Department | \$48,259 | 96\% | 1527 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livestock Board | \$45,244 | 91\% | 69 |
| Medical Examiners Board | \$54,666 | 112\% | 11 |
| Military Affairs | \$47,165 | 109\% | 128 |
| Miners Colfax Medical Center | \$68,151 | 100\% | 223 |
| New Mexico Corrections Dept | \$46,084 | 94\% | 1787 |
| NM Education Trust Board | \$66,517 | 114\% | 1 |
| Ofc of the State Engineer | \$65,733 | 95\% | 245 |
| Office of African Amer Affairs | \$42,660 | 100\% | 3 |
| Office of Natural Resources Trustee | \$65,469 | 110\% | 2 |
| Prof Engineers \& Land Surveyors Board | \$45,909 | 109\% | 4 |
| Public Education Department | \$65,752 | 105\% | 214 |
| Public Employee Retirement Association | \$58,018 | 111\% | 68 |
| Public Regulation Commission | \$59,700 | 103\% | 116 |
| Public School Insurance Auth | \$63,916 | 118\% | 7 |
| Regulation \& Licensing Dept | \$54,598 | 107\% | 243 |
| Retiree Health Care Authority | \$53,359 | 109\% | 20 |
| Secretary of State | \$54,800 | 108\% | 35 |
| SpacePort Authority | \$72,889 | 110\% | 15 |
| State Auditor | \$63,283 | 99\% | 26 |
| State Investment Council | \$78,120 | 107\% | 12 |
| State Land Office | \$60,382 | 109\% | 140 |
| State Personnel Board | \$67,781 | 114\% | 28 |
| State Racing Commission | \$60,006 | 108\% | 9 |
| State Treasurer | \$72,708 | 114\% | 20 |
| Superintendent of Insurance | \$63,998 | 110\% | 79 |
| Taxation \& Revenue Department | \$48,674 | 102\% | 786 |
| Tourism Department | \$50,413 | 104\% | 39 |
| Veterinary Examiners Board | \$30,125 | 106\% | 2 |
| Workers Compensation Admin | \$49,755 | 102\% | 97 |
| Youth Conservation Corps | \$71,578 | 83\% | 1 |

## Appendix F - Alternative Pay Band Assignments

| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2011A | ACTUARY-A | 75 | 70 |
| D2011B | ACTUARY-B | 65 | 60 |
| D20110 | ACTUARY-O | 70 | 65 |
| X40100 | ADMIN/OPS I - DENTAL | 95 | 80 |
| X40200 | ADMIN/OPS I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 85 | 80 |
| X40250 | ADMIN/OPS I - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 90 | 80 |
| X40300 | ADMIN/OPS I - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 95 | 80 |
| X40700 | ADMIN/OPS I - MTD/SID | 90 | 80 |
| X40400 | ADMIN/OPS I - NURSING | 90 | 80 |
| X40450 | ADMIN/OPS I-NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 85 | 80 |
| X40500 | ADMIN/OPS I- OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 80 |
| X40550 | ADMIN/OPS I - PHARMACY | 96 | 80 |
| X40650 | ADMIN/OPS I - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 80 |
| X40600 | ADMIN/OPS I - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 80 |
| X50100 | ADMIN/OPS II - DENTAL | 95 | 85 |
| X50200 | ADMIN/OPS II - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 90 | 85 |
| X50250 | ADMIN/OPS II - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 95 | 85 |
| X50300 | ADMIN/OPS II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 96 | 85 |
| X50700 | ADMIN/OPS II - MTD/SID | 95 | 85 |
| X50400 | ADMIN/OPS II - NURSING | 95 | 85 |
| X50500 | ADMIN/OPS II - OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 85 |
| X50550 | ADMIN/OPS II - PHARMACY | 97 | 85 |
| X50650 | ADMIN/OPS II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 85 |
| X50600 | ADMIN/OPS II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 85 |
| X52012 | ADMIN/OPS II - STATE AUDIT | 90 | 85 |
| U3011A | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-A | 75 | 55 |
| U3011B | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-B | 65 | 45 |
| U30110 | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-O | 70 | 50 |
| W20111 | AIRCRAFT PILOT | 80 | 70 |
| K10802 | CERTIFIED NURSE MIDWIFE | 90 | 70 |
| K10801 | CERTIFIED NURSE PRACTITIONER | 90 | 70 |
| C20100 | CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT | 85 | 80 |
| C3900 | CHIEF FINANCIAL ACCOUNTANT | 85 | 80 |
| G10501 | CHILD SUPPORT LEGAL ASSISTANT 1 | 60 | 55 |
| G10502 | CHILD SUPPORT LEGAL ASSISTANT 2 | 65 | 60 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E3022A | CIVIL ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN-NL-A | 60 | 55 |
| E30220 | CIVIL ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN-NL-O | 55 | 50 |
| K10803 | CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST | 90 | 70 |
| K10661 | CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST I | 85 | 75 |
| K10662 | CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST II | 90 | 80 |
| T4011S | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR AREA CHIEF | 70 | 65 |
| T40112 | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR MULTI CERT | 65 | 60 |
| T40111 | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR SINGL CERT | 60 | 55 |
| T4011B | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-1 | 55 | 50 |
| T40110 | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-2 | 60 | 55 |
| T4011A | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-3 | 65 | 60 |
| 190311 | COORDINATOR - CLASSROOM TECH | 70 | 65 |
| L9091A | DENTAL ASSISTANT-A | 50 | 35 |
| L9091B | DENTAL ASSISTANT-B | 40 | 25 |
| 190910 | DENTAL ASSISTANT-O | 45 | 30 |
| K2021A | DENTAL HYGIENIST-A | 70 | 55 |
| K2021B | DENTAL HYGIENIST-B | 60 | 45 |
| K20210 | DENTAL HYGIENIST-O | 65 | 50 |
| K1021S | DENTIST, GENERAL SUPV | 95 | 85 |
| K1021A | DENTIST, GENERAL-A | 90 | 80 |
| K1021B | DENTIST, GENERAL-B | 80 | 70 |
| $K 10210$ | DENTIST, GENERAL-O | 85 | 75 |
| X40251 | DEPUTY FORENSIC TOXICOLOGY BUR CHIEF | 90 | 80 |
| X60400 | DIRECTOR OF NURSING | 95 | 90 |
| X45033 | DPS EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER | 90 | 80 |
| Q20102 | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM COORD | 80 | 70 |
| Q20101 | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REPRESENTATIVE | 75 | 65 |
| F3011S | ECONOMIST SUPV | 85 | 75 |
| F3011A | ECONOMIST-A | 80 | 70 |
| F3011B | ECONOMIST-B | 70 | 60 |
| F30110 | ECONOMIST-O | 75 | 65 |
| B9039S | EDUCATION ADMINISTRATOR SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| B9039A | EDUCATION ADMINISTRATOR-A | 80 | 75 |
| T2111A | ELECTRICIAN-A | 55 | 50 |
| T2111B | ELECTRICIAN-B | 45 | 40 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M40101 | EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST | 65 | 60 |
| E3000S | ENGINEER SPECIALIST, ALL OTHER-NL SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| E3000A | ENGINEER SPECIALIST, ALL OTHER-NL-A | 80 | 75 |
| F2041S | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC SUPV | 80 | 70 |
| F2041A | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-A | 75 | 65 |
| F2041B | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-B | 65 | 55 |
| F20410 | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-O | 70 | 60 |
| X80300 | EXECUTIVE - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 98 | 96 |
| X80550 | EXECUTIVE - PHARMACY | 97 | 96 |
| X80650 | EXECUTIVE - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 96 |
| X80600 | EXECUTIVE - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 96 |
| K1062S | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER SUPV | 98 | 90 |
| K1062A | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-A | 97 | 85 |
| K1062B | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-B | 95 | 75 |
| K10620 | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-O | 96 | 80 |
| G10601 | FAMILY ASSISTANCE ANALYST 1 | 60 | 55 |
| G10602 | FAMILY ASSISTANCE ANALYST 2 | 65 | 60 |
| C2061S | FINANCIAL EXAMINER SUPERVISOR | 75 | 70 |
| C2061A | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-A | 70 | 65 |
| C2061B | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-B | 60 | 55 |
| C20610 | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-O | 65 | 60 |
| F40920 | FORENSIC SCIENTIST 1 | 75 | 55 |
| F4092A | FORENSIC SCIENTIST 2 | 80 | 60 |
| F4092S | FORENSIC SCIENTIST SUPERVISOR | 85 | 65 |
| X50251 | FORENSIC TOXICOLOGY BUREAU CHIEF | 95 | 85 |
| C20211 | GEN CERT REAL ESTATE APPRAISER \& ADVISOR | 80 | 70 |
| X60100 | GENERAL I - DENTAL | 95 | 90 |
| X60200 | GENERAL I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 95 | 90 |
| X60250 | GENERAL I - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 96 | 90 |
| X60300 | GENERAL I - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 97 | 90 |
| X60700 | GENERAL I MTD/SID | 95 | 90 |
| X60500 | GENERALI- OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 90 |
| X60550 | GENERAL I - PHARMACY | 97 | 90 |
| X60650 | GENERAL I - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 90 |
| X60600 | GENERAL I - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 90 |
| X70300 | GENERAL II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 98 | 95 |
| X70550 | GENERAL II - PHARMACY | 97 | 95 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X70650 | GENERAL II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 95 |
| X70600 | GENERAL II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 95 |
| F2042S | GEOSCIENTST, XCPT HYDROLGST\&GEOGRPHR SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| F2042A | GEOSCIENTST, XCPT HYDROLGST\&GEOGRPHR-A | 75 | 70 |
| F2042B | GEOSCIENTST, XCPT HYDROLGST\&GEOGRPHR-B | 65 | 60 |
| F20420 | GEOSCIENTST,XCPT HYDROLGST\&GEOGRPHR-O | 70 | 65 |
| E2111S | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| E2111A | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-A | 70 | 65 |
| E2111B | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-B | 60 | 55 |
| E21110 | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-O | 65 | 60 |
| U9021S | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| U9021A | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-A | 55 | 50 |
| U9021B | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-B | 45 | 40 |
| U90210 | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-O | 50 | 45 |
| T4051S | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| T4051A | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-A | 55 | 50 |
| T4051B | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-B | 45 | 40 |
| T40510 | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-O | 50 | 45 |
| M40102 | HOMELAND SECURITY SPECIALIST | 70 | 65 |
| G10701 | HSD QUALITY ASSURANCE SPECIALIST | 70 | 65 |
| G1070S | HSD QUALITY ASSURANCE SPECIALIST SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| F2043S | HYDROLOGIST SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| F2043A | HYDROLOGIST-A | 75 | 70 |
| F2043B | HYDROLOGIST-B | 65 | 60 |
| F20430 | HYDROLOGIST-O | 70 | 65 |
| X30795 | IT COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER - DHSEM | 85 | 75 |
| G10941 | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 1 | 65 | 60 |
| G10942 | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 2 | 70 | 65 |
| G1094S | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| C10791 | LABOR RELATIONS ADMINISTRATOR | 75 | 70 |
| 14031A | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-A | 50 | 45 |
| 14031B | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-B | 40 | 35 |
| 140310 | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-O | 45 | 40 |
| 14021A | LIBRARIAN-A | 70 | 65 |
| 14021B | LIBRARIAN-B | 60 | 55 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140210 | LIBRARIAN-O | 65 | 60 |
| 14021S | LIBRARIAN-SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| R4121A | LIBRARY ASSISTANT, CLERICAL-A | 35 | 30 |
| R41210 | LIBRARY ASSISTANT, CLERICAL-O | 30 | 25 |
| K2061S | LICENSED PRACTICL \&LICENSED VOC NRS SUPV | 65 | 55 |
| K2061A | LICENSED PRACTICL \&LICENSED VOC NRS-A | 60 | 50 |
| K2061B | LICENSED PRACTICL \&LICENSED VOC NRS-B | 50 | 40 |
| K20610 | LICENSED PRACTICL \&LICENSED VOC NRS-O | 55 | 45 |
| X10100 | LINE I - DENTAL | 95 | 65 |
| X10125 | LINE I-ECONOMICS | 70 | 65 |
| X10150 | LINE I-ENGINEERING | 70 | 65 |
| X10200 | LINE I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 70 | 65 |
| X10250 | LINE I - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 80 | 65 |
| X10300 | LINE I - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 80 | 65 |
| X10700 | LINE I - MTD/SID | 75 | 65 |
| X10400 | LINE I-NURSING | 80 | 65 |
| X10450 | LINE I-NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 70 | 65 |
| X10500 | LINE I- OT/PT/SLP | 80 | 65 |
| X10550 | LINE I - PHARMACY | 85 | 65 |
| X10650 | LINE I - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 65 |
| X10600 | LINE I-PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 65 |
| X20100 | LINE II - DENTAL | 95 | 70 |
| X20125 | LINE II - ECONOMICS | 75 | 70 |
| X20150 | LINE II - ENGINEERING | 75 | 70 |
| X20200 | LINE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 75 | 70 |
| X20250 | LINE II - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 80 | 70 |
| X20300 | LINE II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 85 | 70 |
| X20700 | LINE II - MTD/SID | 80 | 70 |
| X20400 | LINE II - NURSING | 85 | 70 |
| X20450 | LINE II - NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 75 | 70 |
| X20500 | LINE II - OT/PT/SLP | 85 | 70 |
| X20550 | LINE II - PHARMACY | 90 | 70 |
| X20650 | LINE II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 70 |
| X20600 | LINE II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 70 |
| S20101 | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR 1 | 60 | 55 |
| S20102 | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR 2 | 65 | 60 |
| S2010S | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR SUPV | 70 | 65 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K20811 | MEDICAL CLAIMS CODER | 60 | 45 |
| E2152S | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| E2152A | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-A | 75 | 70 |
| E2152B | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-B | 65 | 60 |
| E21520 | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-O | 70 | 65 |
| J3052 | MUS PRESS ART MGR | 70 | 60 |
| J3053 | MUS PRESS EDITOR MGR | 70 | 60 |
| J3054 | MUS PRESS MKTG MGR | 70 | 60 |
| X30514 | MUSEUM PUBLICATION DIR | 80 | 75 |
| R4032S | MVD STAFF ADMINISTRATOR | 70 | 65 |
| L1012S | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT SUPV | 45 | 55 |
| L1012A | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-A | 40 | 50 |
| L1012B | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-B | 30 | 40 |
| L10120 | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-O | 35 | 45 |
| K1122S | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1122A | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1122B | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-B | 70 | 55 |
| K11220 | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-O | 75 | 60 |
| D2031A | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-A | 70 | 65 |
| D2031B | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-B | 60 | 55 |
| D20310 | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-O | 65 | 60 |
| E2171S | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| E2171A | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-A | 80 | 75 |
| E2171B | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-B | 70 | 65 |
| E21710 | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-O | 75 | 70 |
| K1051S | PHARMACIST SUPV | 95 | 75 |
| K1051A | PHARMACIST-A | 90 | 70 |
| K1051B | PHARMACIST-B | 80 | 60 |
| $K 10510$ | PHARMACIST-O | 85 | 65 |
| K1123S | PHYSICAL THERAPIST SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1123A | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1123B | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-B | 70 | 55 |
| K11230 | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-O | 75 | 60 |
| K10621 | PHYSICIAN | 99 | 80 |
| K10701 | PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT | 85 | 70 |
| K1070S | PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT SUPV | 90 | 75 |
| X61062 | PHYSICIAN MANAGER | 99 | 90 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2152S | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| T2152A | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-A | 55 | 50 |
| T2152B | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-B | 45 | 40 |
| T21520 | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-O | 50 | 45 |
| M3051S | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| M3051A | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-A | 75 | 65 |
| M3051B | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-B | 65 | 55 |
| M30510 | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-O | 70 | 60 |
| C9003 | PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND POLICY ANALYST | 85 | 80 |
| G10901 | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 1 | 65 | 60 |
| G10902 | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 2 | 70 | 65 |
| G1090S | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| C11111 | PROCESS IMPROVEMENT ANALYST | 85 | 70 |
| C10323 | PROPERTY \& CASUALTY ADJUSTER I | 70 | 60 |
| C10324 | PROPERTY \& CASUALTY ADJUSTER II | 75 | 65 |
| K1066S | PSYCHIATRIST SUPV | 98 | 90 |
| K1066A | PSYCHIATRIST-A | 97 | 85 |
| K1066B | PSYCHIATRIST-B | 95 | 75 |
| K10660 | PSYCHIATRIST-O | 96 | 80 |
| H10112 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 2 | 75 | 70 |
| H10113 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 3 | 80 | 75 |
| H10114 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 4 | 85 | 80 |
| F30111 | PUBLIC UTILITIES ECONOMIST | 80 | 70 |
| E30611 | PUBLIC UTILITIES ENGINEER | 85 | 75 |
| K2034S | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN SUPV | 65 | 60 |
| K2034A | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \& TECHNICIAN-A | 60 | 55 |
| K2034B | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN-B | 50 | 45 |
| K20340 | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \& TECHNICIAN-O | 55 | 50 |
| K1111S | REGISTERED NURSE SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1111A | REGISTERED NURSE-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1111B | REGISTERED NURSE-B | 70 | 55 |
| K11110 | REGISTERED NURSE-O | 75 | 60 |
| K1126A | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-A | 60 | 50 |
| K1126B | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-B | 50 | 40 |
| K11260 | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-O | 55 | 45 |
| C20501 | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, LEVEL 1 | 55 | 50 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C20502 | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, LEVEL 2 | 60 | 55 |
| C2050S | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, SUPERVISOR | 65 | 60 |
| Q3031S | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS SUPV | 96 | 75 |
| Q3031A | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-A | 95 | 70 |
| Q3031B | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-B | 85 | 60 |
| Q30310 | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-O | 90 | 65 |
| M9032S | SECURITY GUARD SUPV | 50 | 40 |
| M9032A | SECURITY GUARD-A | 45 | 35 |
| M9032B | SECURITY GUARD-B | 35 | 25 |
| M90320 | SECURITY GUARD-O | 40 | 30 |
| 13025 | SIGNED LANGUAGE INTERPRETER | 75 | 70 |
| E40495 | SPACEPORT AEROSPACE ENGINEER | 90 | 75 |
| Q40401 | SPACEPORT AMERICA SALES AGENT | 80 | 65 |
| W20495 | SPACEPORT FLIGHT CONTROL SPECIALIST | 80 | 65 |
| X40495 | SPACEPORT OPERATIONS MANAGER | 85 | 80 |
| K1127A | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-A | 75 | 65 |
| K1127B | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-B | 65 | 55 |
| $K 11270$ | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-O | 70 | 60 |
| X30100 | STAFF - DENTAL | 95 | 75 |
| X30125 | STAFF - ECONOMICS | 80 | 75 |
| X30200 | STAFF - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 80 | 75 |
| X30250 | STAFF - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 85 | 75 |
| X30300 | STAFF - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 90 | 75 |
| X30700 | STAFF - MTD/SID | 85 | 75 |
| X30400 | STAFF - NURSING | 85 | 75 |
| X30450 | STAFF - NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 80 | 75 |
| X30500 | STAFF - OT/PT/SLP | 90 | 75 |
| X30550 | STAFF - PHARMACY | 95 | 75 |
| X30650 | STAFF - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 75 |
| X30600 | STAFF - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 75 |
| C20123 | STATE AUDIT AUDITOR COORDINATOR | 85 | 75 |
| C20121 | STATE AUDITOR I | 65 | 60 |
| C20122 | STATE AUDITOR II | 70 | 65 |
| X70250 | STATE SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY DIRECTOR | 96 | 90 |
| M33011 | STIU INVESTIGATOR | 70 | 65 |
| C20131 | TAX AUDITOR I | 60 | 55 |
| C20132 | TAX AUDITOR II | 65 | 60 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C20133 | TAX AUDITOR III | 70 | 65 |
| C20134 | TAX AUDITOR IV | 75 | 70 |
| C2013S | TAX AUDITOR SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| K1131A | VETERINARIAN-A | 85 | 80 |
| K1131B | VETERINARIAN-B | 75 | 70 |
| $K 11310$ | VETERINARIAN-O | 80 | 75 |
| B2033 | WILDFIRE PREVENTION \& CONSERVATION COORD | 70 | 65 |
| C10321 | WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADJUSTER I | 70 | 60 |
| C10322 | WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADJUSTER II | 75 | 65 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 1.7 .4 .7(J)$ and 1.7.4.8(E) NMAC.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ NMSA 1978 § 10-9-2.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ 1.7.4.12(A), (C)-(D), and (G) NMAC.
    ${ }^{4}$ 1.7.4.12(A), (C)-(E), and (G) NMAC.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ 1.7.1.7(K) NMAC.

[^4]:    *State did not respond to requests for this information

[^5]:    * Data from the SHARE (Statewide Human Resources Accounting Reporting) System suggests that the average salary in pay band 30 is lower than the average salary in pay band 25 as a result of a greater number of part-time employees in the higher pay band.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ 1.7.4.13(A) NMAC.

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ 1.7.4.13(B) NMAC.
    ${ }^{8}$ 1.7.4.12(L) NMAC.
    ${ }^{9}$ 1.7.4.12(C) NMAC.

